

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MICHAEL JAMES,) 1:07-cv-01110-AWI-TAG HC
Petitioner,)
v.) ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S
DARRAL ADAMS,) MOTION TO FILE AMENDED PETITION
Respondent.) (Doc. 11); ORDER DIRECTING AMENDED
) PETITION TO BE FILED WITHIN THIRTY
) DAYS
) ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PETITIONER'S
) MOTION TO FILE AMENDED PETITION
) (Doc. 10)

**ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION
FOR DISCOVERY (Doc. 9)**

**ORDER WITHDRAWING ORDER TO
ANSWER (Doc. 7)**

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO
SEND PETITIONER A FORM PETITION FOR
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO
28 U.S.C. § 2254

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

Petitioner filed his original federal petition on July 30, 2007. (Doc. 1). On January 15, 2008, after conducting a preliminary screening of the petition, the Court ordered Respondent to file his response to the petition. (Doc. 7). On January 28, 2008, apparently in response to the Court's order to answer, Petitioner filed a motion for discovery. (Doc. 9). On January 31, 2008, Petitioner filed a motion to amend his petition by deleting one claim and adding another. (Doc. 10).

1 10). Then, to complicate matters further, Petitioner filed yet another motion to amend on
2 February 5, 2008, this time simply contending that he wishes to file an amended petition to
3 properly “federalize” his claims. (Doc. 11).

4 **DISCUSSION**

5 A. Leave to Amend.

6 A petitioner may amend a petition for writ of habeas corpus once “as a matter of course,”
7 and without leave of Court, before a response has been filed under Federal Rule of Civil
8 Procedure 15(a), as applied to habeas corpus actions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2242 and Rule 11 of
9 the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Calderon v. United States District Court (Thomas),
10 144 F.3d 618, 620 (9th Cir. 1998); Bonn v. Calderon, 59 F.3d 815, 845 (9th Cir. 1995). Leave
11 of Court is required for all other amendments. Rule Civ. P. 15(a).

12 Here, because no responsive pleading has yet been filed, Petitioner has a right to file an
13 amended petition. Thus, although no leave of Court is formally required on this occasion, the
14 Court will grant Petitioner’s motion to amend, dated February 5, 2008. (Doc. 11). However, it
15 does bear mentioning that, by waiting seven months until the Court had conducted its review of
16 the petition and issued a scheduling order with filing deadlines for the case, Petitioner has placed
17 the Court in the position of now having to withdraw its earlier order, wait for Petitioner to file his
18 amended petition, screen the amended petition, and determine what, if any, further action is
19 merited.

20 The Court assumes that Petitioner’s request to file an amended petition dated February 5,
21 2008, supercedes his earlier request to amend his petition dated January 31, 2008. Thus, the
22 Court will deny the earlier request as moot and grant the later request. Moreover, because the
23 amended petition will supercede the original petition, the Court will withdraw the order to
24 answer dated January 15, 2008.

25 B. Discovery

26 Unlike other civil litigation, a habeas corpus petitioner is not entitled to broad discovery.
27 Bracy v. Gramley, 520 U.S. 899, 901, 904, 117 S.Ct. 1793 (1997). Rule 6 of the Rules Governing
28

1 Section 2254 Cases states that a party may request discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil
2 Procedure. However, discovery is limited to the discretion of the district court and only if good
3 cause is shown. See Rule 6 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Court approval of all
4 discovery is necessary. See Rule 6; Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 6 of the Rules Governing
5 Section 2254 Cases.

6 The Court may use a variety of methods to avoid the need for an evidentiary hearing.
7 Blackledge v. Allison, 431 U.S. 63, 81, 97 S.Ct. 1621 (1977). In some situations, discovery may
8 be appropriate to replace the need for an evidentiary hearing. Id. In addition, Rule 7 of the Rules
9 Governing Section 2254 Cases allows the Court to direct the parties to expand the record to
10 include additional documents. The purpose of this rule is to allow the judge to dispose of certain
11 issues without the need for an evidentiary hearing. See Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 7 of
12 the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

13 _____ Here, however, the Court sees no present need for discovery. Petitioner has requested
14 leave to file an amended petition and the Court, in this Order, will grant leave to file an amended
15 petition. Until the amended petition has been filed and the Court has conducted its preliminary
16 screening of the petition to determine whether it satisfies basic requirements under federal law, it
17 cannot be determined whether the case will be allowed to proceed. Accordingly, any request for
18 discovery at this early juncture is entirely premature.

19
20 ORDERS

21 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

22 1. Petitioner's motion for discovery (Doc. 9), is DENIED as PREMATURE;
23 2. Petitioner's motion to amend dated January 31, 2008 (Doc. 10), is DENIED as
24 MOOT;
25 3. Petitioner's motion to amend dated February 5, 2008, is GRANTED; Petitioner is
26 granted thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order to file an amended
27 petition using the form provided by the Clerk of the Court. Petitioner must state
28

1 each ground for relief clearly and concisely, being sure to allege a federal
2 constitutional violation as a basis for each ground. Petitioner must fill out the
3 form petition **in its entirety**, listing any efforts he has made, either on direct
4 appeal of his conviction or in state habeas corpus proceedings, to challenge his
5 conviction or sentence, and list the dates and grounds raised at each court and the
6 date each court ruled on each petition for writ of habeas corpus. Petitioner must
7 also name the proper respondent, as indicated in the body of this order. The
8 amended petition must be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this
9 order and should be clearly and boldly titled "AMENDED PETITION," contain
10 the appropriate case number, and be an original signed under penalty of perjury.
11 Petitioner should also note that every pleading to which an amendment is
12 permitted *must be retyped or rewritten and filed so that it is complete in itself*
13 *without reference to the prior or superseded pleading*. Local Rule 15-220.

14 4. The Order to File a Response dated January 15, 2008 (Doc. 7), is WITHDRAWN;
15 and,

16 5. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to send Petitioner a blank form petition for
17 federal prisoners filing pursuant to § 2254.

18 Petitioner is forewarned that his failure to comply with this order may result in a
19 Recommendation that the Petition be dismissed pursuant to Local Rule 11-110.
20

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: February 7, 2008

23 _____ /s/ **Theresa A. Goldner**
24 _____ UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25
26
27
28