

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 HOWARD YOUNG,

1:07-cv-01121-GSA-PC

12 Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS
AS PREMATURE

13 v.

14 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION,
15 et al.,

(Doc. 22.)

16 Defendants.

17 /

18 Howard Young (“plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in
19 this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on August 2, 2007.
20 (Doc. 1.) On August 31, 2007, plaintiff filed an amended complaint. (Doc. 9.) The Court
21 screened the amended complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1915A and issued an order on March 5,
22 2009, giving plaintiff the choice to either file a second amended complaint, or in the alternative,
23 to proceed on the claims found cognizable by the Court. (Doc. 19.) On March 18, 2009,
24 plaintiff filed objections to the court’s March 5, 2009 order. (Doc. 20.) On April 1, 2009,
25 plaintiff filed a motion for the Court to order service of process upon all of the defendants in this
26 action, plus additional defendants named by plaintiff in the motion. (Doc. 22.)

27 At this stage of the proceedings, service of process is premature. Before ordering
28 service, the Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a

1 governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity and determine which claims
2 are cognizable. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In this action, the Court screened plaintiff's amended
3 complaint and gave plaintiff the choice to either file a second amended complaint, or in the
4 alternative, to proceed on the claims found cognizable by the Court. To date, plaintiff has not
5 notified the Court of his choice. As a result, there is no complaint in this action appropriate for
6 service, and plaintiff's request for service is premature.

7 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for service of
8 process is DENIED without prejudice as premature.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: April 3, 2009

/s/ Gary S. Austin

12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28