Espinoza et al v. County of Fresno

Doc. 173

Dockets.Justia.com

2. Appearances of Counsel:

James W. Henderson, Jr., Esq., of Carroll, Burdick & McDonough, LLP, appeared telephonically on behalf of plaintiffs.

Michael G. Woods, Esq., of McCormick, Barstow, Sheppard, Wayte & Carruth, LLP, appeared telephonically on behalf of defendant.

3. Pertinent Pleading:

On June 7, 2012, the Court issued an Order Clarifying Issues Remaining for Trial as follows (Doc. 169):

- A. Plaintiffs' claim for compensation for firearms qualification and maintenance.
- B. Plaintiffs' claim for compensation for off duty cleaning and maintenance of uniforms and safety gear.
  - 4. Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties consented to conduct any and all further proceedings in this case, including trial, before the Honorable Sandra M. Snyder, United States

Magistrate Judge, and executed the court's appropriate consent form to effect same (Docs. 160 & 161). On November 16, 2011, Judge

Ishii ordered this case reassigned solely to the docket of

Magistrate Judge Snyder (Doc. 162), thereby changing the case number/initials as follows:

## 1:07-cv-01145-SMS

5. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule:

Defendant's Motion for De-Certification of Collective
Action shall be filed by September 7, 2012. Plaintiffs' Opposition
shall be filed by October 22, 2012. Defendant's Reply shall be
filed by November 6, 2012. A hearing will be held on December 5,

1 2012 at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 on the Eighth Floor before
2 the Honorable Sandra M. Snyder, United States Magistrate Judge.
3 NOTE: Judge Snyder's chambers requires prompt courtesy copies of
4 all motions and related filings in excess of 25/50 pages in
5 compliance with Local Rule 133(f).

6. Pre-Trial Conference Date:

September 11, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 on the Eighth Floor before the Sandra M. Snyder, United States Magistrate Judge.

Ten (10) days prior to the Pretrial Conference, the parties shall exchange the disclosures required pursuant to  $F.R.Civ.P.\ 26(a)(3)$ .

The parties are ordered to file a **JOINT Pretrial**Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2). The parties are further ordered to submit a digital copy of their Joint Pretrial Statement in WordPerfect X3<sup>1</sup> format to Judge Snyder's chambers by e-mail to SMSOrders@caed.uscourts.gov.

Counsels' attention is directed to Rules 281 and 282 of

the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California
as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the Pre-Trial

Conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with

those Rules.

7. Trial Date:

November 18, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 1 on the Eighth Floor before the Honorable Sandra M. Snyder, United States Magistrate Judge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If WordPerfect X3 is not available to the parties, then the latest version of WordPerfect, or any other word processing program in general use for IBM compatible personal computers, is acceptable.

B. Counsels' Estimate of Trial Time:
15 days.

C. Counsels' attention is directed to <u>Rule 285 of the</u>

<u>Local Rules</u> of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

8. Settlement Conference:

May 13, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 6 on the Seventh Floor before the Honorable Jennifer L. Thurston, United States Magistrate Judge.

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by Judge Thurston, the attorneys who will try the case shall personally appear at the Settlement Conference with the parties and the person or persons having full authority to negotiate and settle the case on any terms at the conference.

Permission for a party [not attorney] to attend by telephone may be granted by Judge Thurston upon request, by letter, with a copy to the other parties, IF the party lives and works outside the Eastern District of California, AND attendance in person would constitute a hardship. If telephone attendance is allowed, the party must be immediately available throughout the conference, until excused, regardless of time zone differences. Any other special arrangements desired in cases where settlement authority rests with a governing body shall also be proposed, in advance, by letter, and copied to all other parties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Insurance carriers, business organizations, and governmental bodies or agencies whose settlement agreements are subject to approval by legislative bodies, executive committees, boards of directors, or the like, shall be represented by a person or persons who occupy high executive positions in the party organization, and who will be directly involved in the process of approval of any settlement offers or agreements. To the extent possible, the representative shall have the authority, if he or she deems it appropriate, to settle the action on terms consistent with the opposing party's most recent demand.

## CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Confidential Settlement Conference Statement is MANDATORY, and must be submitted to Judge Thurston's chambers, at least five (5) court days prior to the Settlement Conference, by e-mail to JLTOrders@caed.uscourts.gov. Failure to so comply may result in the imposition of monetary and/or other sanctions.

The Statement should **not be filed** with the Clerk's Office nor **served on any other party**, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging Confidential Settlement Conference Statement. Each Statement shall be clearly marked "Confidential" with the date and time of the Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon. Counsel are urged to request the return of their Statements if settlement is not achieved and, if such a request is not made, the Court will dispose of the Statement.

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

- A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and, a description of the major issues in dispute.
  - C. A summary of the proceedings to date.
- D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and trial.
  - E. The relief sought.

28 /

F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers, and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.

9. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten Trial:

The parties have previously agreed, and Judge Wanger ordered (Doc. 26, page 14, XIV), that the issues of liability and damages shall be bifurcated and tried on the same case in two separate phases before the same jury (Doc. 165, page 12, X).

- 10. Related Matters Pending:
  Not applicable at this time.
- 11. Compliance with Federal Procedure:

The Court requires compliance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California. To aid the Court in the efficient administration of this case, all counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing caseload. Sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as provided in both the Fed.R.Civ.P. and the Local Rules.

12. Compliance with Electronic Filing Requirement:

On January 3, 2005, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California became an electronic case management/filing district (CM/ECF). Unless excused by the Court, or by Local Rule, attorneys shall file all documents electronically

as of January 3, 2005, in all actions pending before the court. While Pro Se Litigants are exempt from this requirement, the court will scan in all documents filed by pro se litigants, and the official court record in all cases will be electronic. Attorneys are required to file electronically in pro se cases. More information regarding the Court's implementation of CM/ECF can be found on the court's web site at <a href="https://www.caed.uscourts.gov">www.caed.uscourts.gov</a>, including the Court's Local Rules, the CM/ECF Final Procedures, and the CM/ECF User's Manual.

While the Clerk's Office will not refuse to file a proffered paper document, the Clerk's Office will scan it and, if improperly filed, notify the Court that the document was filed in an improper format. An order to show cause (OSC) may be issued in appropriate cases regarding an attorney's disregard for the requirement to utilize electronic filing, or other violations of these electronic filing procedures. See L.R. 110, L.R. 133(d)(3).

All counsel must be registered for CM/ECF. On-line registration is available at <a href="www.caed.uscourts.gov">www.caed.uscourts.gov</a>. Once registered, counsel will receive a login and password in approximately one (1) week. Counsel must be registered to file documents on-line. See L.R. 135(g). Counsel are responsible for knowing the rules governing electronic filing in the Eastern District. Please review the Court's Local Rules available on the Court's web site.

## 13. Effect of this Order:

The foregoing Order represents the best estimate of the Court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to bring this case to resolution. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved

for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this Order cannot be met, counsel are ORDERED to notify the Court immediately so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless accompanied by affidavits or declarations and, where appropriate, attached exhibits which establish good cause for granting the relief requested. THEREFORE, FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER SHALL RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS. IT IS SO ORDERED. **Dated:** August 17, 2012 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE