This matter was heard on February 6, 2009 before the undersigned, with counsel for defendant Yates appearing and counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for defendant Ramirez Jr. appearing telephonically.

Modification of the pretrial scheduling order requires a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). "The schedule may be modified 'if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension." Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co., 302 F.3d 1080, 1087

23

24

25

26

27

28

(9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 607 (9th Cir. 1992)). "If the party seeking the modification 'was not diligent, the inquiry should end' and the motion to modify should not be granted." Id. Good cause having been found, the motion is GRANTED. Plaintiff is granted an extension of time, up to and including February 23, 2009, to file an amended complaint. As discussed at the hearing, defendant Yates has been dismissed from this action and Plaintiff may not re-allege Plaintiff's claims against him in the amended complaint. This matter is set for scheduling conference on May 11, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. before the undersigned to set the discovery and dispositive motion deadlines. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: February 9, 2009