

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRANK ENEPI SISNEROZ,

1:07-cv-01221-LJO-SMS-PC

Plaintiff,

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS
(Doc. 35.)

vs.

STEVEN MAYBERG, et al.,

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
(Doc. 13.)

Defendants.

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO CLOSE CASE

_____ /

Frank Enepi Sisneroz (“plaintiff”) is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

On February 4, 2009, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that defendants' motion for summary judgment, filed on March 17, 2008, be granted, concluding this action.¹ On April 4, 2009, plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (Doc. 38.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73-305, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire

_____ ¹Defendants filed a motion to dismiss this action on March 17, 2008, which was construed by the Court as a motion for summary judgment. (Docs. 13, 23.)

1 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper
2 analysis.

3 Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

4 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on February
5 4, 2009, are ADOPTED in full;

6 2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment, filed on March 17, 2008, is
7 GRANTED, concluding this action; and

8 3. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to close this case.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 **Dated:** April 3, 2009

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28