1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	ROBERT LEE JENKINS,CASE NO. 1:07-cv-01223-LJO-DLB (PC)		
8	Plaintiff, ORDER FINDING SERVICE OF COMPLAINT APPROPRIATE, AND FORWARDING		
9	v. SERVICE DOCUMENTS TO PLAINTIFF FOR COMPLETION AND RETURN WITHIN		
10	PERRY, et al., THIRTY DAYS		
11	Defendants. (Doc. 8)		
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
13	Robert Lee Jenkins ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma		
14	pauperis with a first amended civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed		
15	this action on May 1, 2008. The Court screened Plaintiff's first amended complaint pursuant to		
16	28 U.S.C. § 1915A and finds that it states a cognizable claim for relief under section 1983		
17	against defendants Lieutenant Perry, Sergeant Bradford, Sergeant Chalabian, and Captain		
18	Williams for failure to protect in violation of the Eighth Amendment.		
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
20	1. Service is appropriate for defendants		
21	LIEUTENANT PERRY		
22	SERGEANT BRADFORD		
23	SERGEANT CHALABAIN		
24	CAPTAIN WILLIAMS		
25	2. The Clerk of the Court shall send Plaintiff four (4) USM-285 forms, four (4)		
26	summons, a Notice of Submission of Documents form, an instruction sheet and a		
27	copy of the amended complaint filed May 1, 2008.		
28	3. Within THIRTY (30) DAYS from the date of this Order, Plaintiff shall complete		

1

1		the attached Notice of Submission of Documents and submit the completed	
2		Notice to the Court with the following documents:	
3		a. Completed summons;	
4		b. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant listed above; and	
5		c. Five (5) copies of the endorsed amended complaint filed May 1, 2008.	
6	4.	Plaintiff need not attempt service on Defendant and need not request waiver of	
7		service. Upon receipt of the above-described documents, the court will direct the	
8		United States Marshal to serve the above-named Defendant pursuant to Federal	
9		Rule of Civil Procedure 4 without payment of costs.	
10	5.	The failure to comply with this Order will result in a Recommendation that this	
11		action be dismissed.	
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14	Dated:	January 13, 2009 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDDE	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			