1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	STEVEN DE SHAZO,	CASE NO. 1:07-cv-1258-OWW-MJS (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-
11	V.	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS WITHIN TWENTY DAYS
12	C/O HIENG,	(ECF No. 12)
13	Defendant.	,
14	On July 7, 2040. Defendent filed a Matien to Diamina (ECE No. 25). Disjutiff was	
15	On July 7, 2010, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 25]. Plaintiff was	
16	required to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion within twenty- one days, but has not done so. Local Rule 78-230(m). The Court has yet to receive any	
17		
18	responsive filing from Plaintiff.  Accordingly, within <b>twenty (20) days</b> from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff	
19		
20	must file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.  If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, this action will be dismissed, with prejudice, for	
21   22	failure to obey the Court's order and failure to prosecute.	
23	Tailard to obby the Court's order and failard to prosecute.	
24		
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: August 8, 2010  Isl Michael J. Seng UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28	UNIT	ED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE