1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	
7	CHRISTOPHER S. RIDER,
8	Plaintiff, 1: 07 CV 1340 LJO WMW PC
9	vs. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION RE MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (DOC 19)
10	FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (DOC 17)
11	
12	JAMES YATES, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Plaintiff has filed a motion seeking a court order to direct officials at High Desert State
16	Prison to issue him certain items of his personal property. This action proceeds against
17	Defendants at Pleasant Valley State Prison for civil rights violations that are alleged to have
18	occurred at Pleasant Valley. None of the defendants in this action are employed at High Desert
19	State Prison.
20	The court must have personal jurisdiction over the parties to be enjoined; it may not
21	enjoin defendants not yet served or before the court. Zepeda v. United States I.N.S., 753 F.2d
22	719, 727 (9th Cir. 1983). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's
23	motion for injunctive relief be denied.
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B). Within
26	
	1

twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, Plaintiff may file
written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to
Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
<u>Martinez v. Ylst</u>, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 5, 2009

/s/ William M. Wunderlich UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE