1 2

v.

conference on January 12, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL GONZALES, CASE NO. 1:07-cv-01391-AWI-GBC PC

Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE AS

PREMATURE

PRICE, et al., (Doc. 36)

Defendants.

Plaintiff Michael Gonzales ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on the complaint filed September 21, 2007, against Defendants Price, Frescura, Vikjord, Pinzon, and M. Castro for retaliation and refusal to mail his correspondence in violation of the Eighth Amendment. On January 7, 2011, an order was issued opening discovery. Plaintiff filed a motion for settlement

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure authorize settlement discussions at any pretrial conference. Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(c)(9). While federal courts have the authority to require the parties to engage in settlement conferences, they have no authority to coerce settlements. Goss Graphic Systems, Inc. v. DEV Industries, Inc., 267 F.3d 624, 627 (7th Cir. 2001.) Defendants have not indicated to the Court that they are willing to participate in a settlement conference. No settlement conference will be scheduled until such time as *both* parties agree to participate in one. Discovery in this action opened on January 7, 2011, and is not set to close until September 7, 2011. At this

stage of the action, when discovery has just begun, a request for a settlement conference is premature. Plaintiff is advised that this order does not preclude the parties from discussing settlement.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for a settlement conference is DENIED, without prejudice, as premature.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 7, 2011

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE