1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 ERNEST MILLER, CASE NO. 1:07-cv-01416-LJO DLB PC 8 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, AND DISMISSING CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS 9 v. 10 A.K. SCRIBNER et al., (Doc. 41) 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 Plaintiff Ernest Miller ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge 15 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302. 16 17 On December 18, 2008, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations herein which was served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objection to the 18 19 Findings and Recommendations was to be filed within thirty days. Plaintiff filed an Objection to the 20 Findings and Recommendations on December 30, 2008. 21 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings 22 23 and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. 24 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 25 The Findings and Recommendations, filed December 18, 2008, is adopted in full; 1. 2. 26 This action shall proceed only against defendant Ortize for violation of the Eighth 27 Amendment; and 28 3. Defendants Scribner, Adams, Mendes, Kibler and Fisher are dismissed with

1	prejudice from this action for plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief may
2	be granted.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: February 4, 2009 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	