v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS D. BRALEY, CASE NO. 1:07-cv-01423-OWW-SMS

Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS

WASCO STATE PRISON, et al., (ECF No. 61, 62)

Defendants.

Plaintiff Thomas D. Braley ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On August 24, 2011, the Magistrate Judge issued <u>findings and recommendations</u> recommending dismissal of certain claims and defendants. Plaintiff was given thirty days to file objections and <u>objections</u> were filed on September 27, 2011. In Plaintiff's objections he complains that the findings and recommendations misstated the date of the x-ray that he received after he was injured. A review of the second amended complaint shows that Plaintiff stated that he was x-rayed on September 20, 2007, however his objection states the x-ray occurred on September 21, 2007. The difference of one day in receiving the x-ray would not change the findings that Plaintiff failed to state a cognizable claim for deliberate indifference to his medical needs.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the undersigned has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the undersigned finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1.	The findings and recommendations recommending, filed August 24, 2011, is adopted
3		in full;
4	2.	This action is proceeding on the second amended complaint, filed June 10, 2011,
5		against Defendants Markmann and L.A. Miller for failure to protect in violation of
6		the Eighth Amendment;
7	3.	Defendants Williams, Martinez, Massa, George, Thompson, Wasco State Prison, C.
8		Cooper, and M. Hunter are dismissed from this action, with prejudice, based upon
9		Plaintiff's failure to state a cognizable claim against them;
10	4.	Plaintiff's remaining Eighth Amendment claims and claim for injunctive relief are
11		dismissed, with prejudice, for Plaintiff's failure to state a claim; and
12	5.	This action is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.
13		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated: Se	<u>/s/ Oliver W. Wanger</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		