1

Proposed Order Granting ABM defendants' Motion. 5.

The ABM defendants move to file the documents under seal, because pursuant to a July 24, 2008 Protective Order, the ABM defendants are prevented from referring to these anonymous plaintiffs/claimants by name. The ABM defendants aver that Protective Order continues to be required, because plaintiff EEOC continues to maintain that the names of the anonymous plaintiffs/claims at issue in this action must be kept out of all public filings. The ABM defendants contend that notwithstanding the Protective Order, they are required to refer to each individual by name in the pleadings and attach the individuals' depositions. The ADM defendants further assert that there "is no way to redact all of the names from these documents and exhibits without them being rendered meaningless."

This Court, having determined that there is good cause to protect the confidentiality of the information contained in the documents identified above, and pursuant to Local Rule 141, this Court:

- 1. GRANTS the ABM defendants' motion to file the documents under seal;
- 2. DIRECTS the clerk of court to file under seal the complete, unredacted versions of the documents identified on page 2, lines 3-8 of this Order; and
- 3. ORDERS the ABM defendants, no later than May 24, 2010, to file a redacted copy of the motion and any supporting papers. The redactions shall be narrowly tailored to protect only that information that is confidential or was deemed confidential.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

May 11, 2010 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

25

26

27

28