

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARVIND VYAS GOPAL,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-07-1984 LEW DAD P

vs.

D.K. SISTO, et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

_____ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his application, petitioner challenges a judgment of conviction entered by the Stanislaus County Superior Court. Stanislaus County is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 3-120(d).

Pursuant to Local Rule 3-120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper division of a court may, on the court’s own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. This court will not rule on petitioner’s request to proceed in forma pauperis.

/////
/////

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis;

3 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern
4 District of California sitting in Fresno; and

5 3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and
6 shall be filed at:

7 United States District Court
8 Eastern District of California
9 2500 Tulare Street
Fresno, CA 93721

10 DATED: October 2, 2007.

11 
12 _____
13 DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

14 DAD:cm
15 gopa1984.109
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26