stipulation in open court will also suffice. Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Asso., 884 F.2d

28

Doc. 106

| 1  | 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986). Once the                            |
|----|--|
| 2  | stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in open court, no                            |
| 3  | order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(ii); <u>Eitel</u> , 782              |
| 4  | F.2d at 1473 n.4. "Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule 41(a) (1) (ii) is clear                           |
| 5  | that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and does not require                        |
| 6  | judicial approval." In re Wolf, 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); Gardiner v. A.H. Robins Co.,                         |
| 7  | 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG, 377 F.3d 133, 139                             |
| 8  | (2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir.                                  |
| 9  | 1999) <u>cf.</u> <u>Wilson v. City of San Jose</u> , 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997) (addressing 41(a)(1)(i)).         |
| 10 | "The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or all of his claims, through a                        |
| 11 | Rule 41(a)(1) notice," and the dismissal "automatically terminates the action as to the defendants                       |
| 12 | who are the subjects of the notice." Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692; Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493,                            |
| 13 | 1506 (9th Cir. 1995).  |
| 14 | Because Plaintiffs have filed a voluntary dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) as to only                                   |
| 15 | Defendant IMB HOLDCO LLC, this case has terminated as to IMB HOLDCO LLC, only. See                                       |
| 16 | Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(ii); <u>In re Wolf</u> , 842 F.2d at 466; <u>Gardiner</u> , 747 F.2d at 1189; <u>see also</u> |
| 17 | Gambale, 377 F.3d at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt, 193 F.3d at 1077; cf. Wilson, 111 F.3d at                               |
| 18 | 692.   |
| 19 | Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that IMB HOLDCO LLC, is DISMISSED from   |
| 20 | this case without prejudice in light of the parties's filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1)                            |
| 21 | voluntary dismissal.   |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |
| 24 | Dated: November 15, 2009 /s/ Anthony W. Ishii CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE   |
| 25 | CHILI GITTLE STATES DISTRICT JODGE   |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |