1	Patience Milrod		
2	Law Office of Patience Milrod 844 N. Van Ness Avenue		
3	Fresno, California 93728 559/442-3111		
4	559/442-3164 fax		
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs		
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES D IN AND FOR THE EASTERN DIST		
9	FRESNO DIVISION		
10			
11	TERRY HILLBLOM, SANDRA HILLBLOM) and C. MICHAEL L., a minor, by and and through his guardians addition. TERRY	No. 1:07-CV-01467 LJO SMS	
12	and through his guardians ad litem, TERRY) and SANDRA HILLBLOM,	STIPULATION AND	
13	Plaintiffs,)	ORDER RE: MODIFICATION OF SCHEDULING ORDER	
14	v.)	SCHEDOLING ORDER	
15	COUNTY OF FRESNO; FRESNO COUNTY)		
16	SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; FRESNO) COUNTY DEPUTY SHERIFF ROBERT)		
17	CAREY; FRESNO COUNTY SHERIFF'S) SERGEANTS KATHY CARREIRO and)		
18	E. BROUGHTON; and DOES 1 through 50.)		
19	Defendants.)		
20	Plaintiffs TERRY HILLBLOM, SANDRA 1	HILLBLOM and MICHAEL L., by	
21	and through his guardians ad litem, TERRY AN	D SANDRA HILLBLOM	
22	("Plaintiffs") and defendants COUNTY OF FRESNO, RICHARD PIERCE,		
	Stipulation re: Modification of Scheduling Order	1	

ROBERT CAREY, KATHY CARREIRO, and ERIC BROUGHTON ("Defendants") hereby stipulate to the following modification of the current scheduling order.

On March 9, 2010 the parties entered into a stipulation to amend the entire scheduling order in order to participate in mediation, expecting the mediation to take place in April. Such a schedule would have allowed the parties sufficient time to take additional depositions, avoiding expenditure of attorneys' fees and costs if the mediation were successful. However, due to conflicting schedules, the mediation could not take place until May 10, 2010; even though this date would not allow time for depositions, the parties held off seeking another amendment of the scheduling order until we learned the outcome of the mediation.

The parties unsuccessfully mediated the case on May 10, 2010. They therefore now seek an amended scheduling order so that parties may now take the depositions they had deferred pending mediation. This stipulation does not request an order changing any hearing dates, or the trial date.

Therefore, the parties request the Court issue an Order making the following changes to the Scheduling Order in this case:

Expert Disclosure: from May 17, 2010 to June 15, 2010

Supplemental Expert Disclosure: from June 7, 2010 to July 15, 2010

Nonexpert Discovery Cutoff: from May 17, 2010 to August 1, 2010

Expert Discovery Cutoff: from July 15, 2010 to August 1, 2010

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1	Dated: May 11, 2010	
2		/s/ Patience Milrod PATIENCE MILROD
3		Attorney for Plaintiffs
4	Dated: May 11, 2010	Weakley, Arendt & McGuire LLP
5		Attorneys for Defendants
6		/s/ Michael Linden
7		By MICHAEL LINDEN
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Note: Any hearing dates, pre-trial or trial dates may only be	
11	Amended by Judge O'Neill and only upon a showing of good cause.	
12	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder HON. SANDRA SYNDER
12 13	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	
	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	HON. SANDRA SYNDER
13	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	HON. SANDRA SYNDER
13 14	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	HON. SANDRA SYNDER
13 14 15	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	HON. SANDRA SYNDER
13 14 15 16	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	HON. SANDRA SYNDER
13 14 15 16 17	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	HON. SANDRA SYNDER
13 14 15 16 17 18	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	HON. SANDRA SYNDER
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Dated: <u>5/18/2000</u>	HON. SANDRA SYNDER

Stipulation re: Modification of Scheduling Order