(PC) Dowd v	v. Yates	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	LIGILAL DIGITAL OF CILLIONIN	
8	RODNEY LAMONT DOWD,	CASE NO. 1:07-cv-01505 OWW DLB PC
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT AS PREMATURE
10	v.	(Doc. 27)
11	JAMES YATES, et al.,	(= 000 = 1)
12	Defendants.	/
13		
14	Plaintiff Rodney Dowd ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights	
15	action. On March 26, 2009, Plaintiff filed a motion for entry of default against defendants J. Teater,	
16	J. Argurraide, M. Jenan, K. Ward, A. Defosses, M. McCollum and S. Meyst. (Doc. 27).	
17	Entry of default is appropriate as to any party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief	
18	is sought who has failed to plead or otherwise defend as provided by the Federal Rules of Civil	
19	Procedure and where that fact is made to appear by affidavit or otherwise. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a).	
20	Plaintiff attests that defendants were served with the summons and complaint and that a proof	
21	of service was filed. A review of the docket indicates that an order directing service on defendants	
22	by the United States Marshal was filed on February 10, 2009. The docket does not reflect that	
23	defendants have yet been served pursuant to Rule 4(c) and it does not appear that their time to	
24	respond has expired. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for entry of default is denied as premature.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: March 31, 2009	/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		ONTILD STATES WAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
		1

Doc. 28