(PC) Britt v. Nyenke	et al	Doc. 15
1		KM
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	Sean Britt,) N	Jo. CV 1-07-1544-JMR
11)	ORDER
12	vs.	ADER
13	C. Nyenke, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15)	
16	In a October 8, 2009 Order (Doc. #14), the	ne Court dismissed Plaintiff's Complaint for
17	failure to state a claim. The Court gave Plaintif	
18	cured the deficiencies identified in the Order ar	nd warned Plaintiff as follows:
19	If Plaintiff fails to file an amended	complaint within 30 days, the
20	Clerk of Court must, without further notice, enter a judgment of dismissal of this action with prejudice that states that the dismissal may count as a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).	
21	More than 30 days have passed since the Court's October 8, 2009 Order was filed.	
22 23	Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.	,
24	2009 Order, the Court will require the Clerk of C	
25	case, with prejudice, for failure to state a claim.	
26	28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).	This dishinssar may count as a strike under
27	20 C.S.C. § 1713(g).	
28		
ļ	II .	Dockets Justic com

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court must enter a judgment of **dismissal** of this case, **with prejudice**, for failure to state a claim. The Clerk of Court must indicate on the docket that the dismissal may count as a **strike** under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). DATED this 23rd day of November, 2009.

John M. Roll
Chief United States District Judge