27

28

In cases involving a plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis, the Marshal, upon order of the Court, shall serve the summons and the complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(2). "[A]n incarcerated pro

Doc. 78

se plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis is entitled to rely on the U.S. Marshal for service of the 1 2 summons and complaint and ... should not be penalized by having his action dismissed for failure 3 to effect service where the U.S. Marshal or the court clerk has failed to perform his duties." Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1422 (9th Cir. 1994) (quoting Puett v. Blandford, 912 F.2d 270, 275 (9th 4 5 Cir. 1990)), abrogated on other grounds by Sandin v. Connor, 515 U.S. 472 (1995). "So long as the prisoner has furnished the information necessary to identify the defendant, the marshal's failure to 6 7 effect service is 'automatically good cause " Walker, 14 F.3d at 1422 (quoting Sellers v. United 8 States, 902 F.2d 598, 603 (7th Cir.1990)). However, where a pro se plaintiff fails to provide the 9 Marshal with accurate and sufficient information to effect service of the summons and complaint, 10 the Court's sua sponte dismissal of the unserved defendants is appropriate. Walker, 14 F.3d at 1421-

In this instance, Gus and Cas are nicknames or first names, and the Marshal was unable to identify them. (Docs. 23, 42.) Plaintiff was notified by the Court that he had to identify Gus and Cas by the close of discovery, and if he did not do so, they would be dismissed from the action. (Docs. 33, 46.) Discovery closed on August 11, 2009, and Plaintiff did not provide any further information on the identities of Gus and Cas.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Defendants Gus and Cas be dismissed from this action based on Plaintiff's failure to provide information sufficient for the United States Marshal to effect service of process. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within **thirty (30) days** after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, the parties may file written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the

25 ///

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

22.

26 ///

27 | ///

28 ///

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d
2	1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: October 22, 2009 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	