

1 STUART F. DELERY
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
VINCENT M. GARVEY
2 Deputy Director
TAMRA T. MOORE
3 Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
4 Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Ave. NW
5 Washington, D.C. 20530
Telephone: (202) 514-8095
6 Facsimile: (202) 616-8460
E-Mail: Tamra.Moore@usdoj.gov
7 District of Columbia Bar

8 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
United States Attorney
9 Eastern District of California
JEFFREY J. LODGE
10 Assistant United States Attorney
United States Courthouse
11 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401
Fresno, California 93271
12 Telephone: (559) 497-4000

13 *Attorneys for Defendant United States*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

16 PINNACLE ARMOR, INC.,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,¹
20 Defendant.

) Case No.: cv-07-1655 (LJO)

) STIPULATION REGARDING
) DEFENDANT’S TIME TO RESPOND TO
) PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT
) REVISED ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

) ORDER ADOPTING REVISED BREIFING
) SCHEDULE AND VACATING HEARING

21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27 ¹ Although the caption of plaintiff’s First Amended Verified Complaint (“FAVC”) identifies the
28 United States of America as the defendant in this lawsuit, plaintiff’s FAVC states that this suit is
brought against the United States Department of Justice and the National Institute of Justice
(collectively, “the NIJ” or “the Government”).

1 Plaintiff, Pinnacle Armor, Inc., and defendant, the National Institute of Justice (“NIJ”),
2 stipulate, pursuant to Local Rule 6-144(a) and subject to this Court’s approval, that:

- 3
- 4 1. NIJ has until January 11, 2013, to respond to plaintiff’s Motion to Supplement
5 Revised Administrative Record;
 - 6 2. Plaintiff has until January 25, 2013, to file its reply in support of its Motion to
7 Supplement Revised Administrative Record; and
 - 8 3. The hearing on plaintiff’s Motion to Supplement Revised Administrative Record shall
9 take place on February 1, 2013.
10

11 Counsel for the NIJ requested an extension of time to respond to plaintiff’s motion in
12 November due to work obligations. Counsel for NIJ now seeks this additional enlargement of
13 time due to her own illness and the upcoming holidays, during which time agency counsel will
14 be out of the office. Counsel for plaintiff does not oppose this request.
15

16
17 Dated: December 21, 2012

s/ Eric H. Saiki _____

18 ERIC H. SAIKI

19 Counsel for Plaintiff

20 Dated: December 21, 2012

s/ Tamra T. Moore _____
21 TAMRA T. MOORE

22 Counsel for Defendant

23 **ORDER**

24 Based on the above stipulation of all parties to this action, the Court directs that:
25 Defendant’s response to plaintiffs’ Motion to Supplement Revised Administrative Record be
26 filed on or before January 11, 2013; and Plaintiff’s reply in support of its Motion to Supplement
27 Revised Administrative Record be filed on or before January 25, 2013.
28

1 Given the nature of the motion, the Court is of the opinion that a hearing will be
2 unnecessary, and hereby VACATES the hearing on plaintiff's motion, originally set for 8:30 AM
3 in Courtroom 4 on February 1, 2013, pursuant to Local Rule 230(g). Should the papers raise
4 issues on which the Court believes oral argument would be helpful, the hearing will be reset.
5

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7
8 Dated: December 21, 2012

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE