1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	
7	SONNY RAY HARDAWAY,
8	Plaintiff, CV F 07 1664 LJO SMS PC
9	vs. FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION
10	
11	A. PEAR, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action challenging the
15	conditions of his confinement.
16	On December 7, 2007, an order was entered, directing Plaintiff to show cause, within
17	thirty days, why his application to proceed in forma pauperis should not be denied pursuant to 28
18	U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff has not filed a response to the court's order.
19	The Prison Litigation Reform Act provides that "[i]n no event shall a prisoner bring a
20	civil action under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more occasions, while incarcerated
21	or detained in a facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was
22	dismissed on the ground that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief
23	may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious injury." 28 U.S.C. §
24	1915(g).
25	This plaintiff has, on 3 prior occasions, brought civil actions challenging the conditions of
26	
	1

his confinement. All three action were dismissed as frivolous, or for failure to state a claim upon
which relief can be granted. <u>Hardaway v. Money</u>, CV S 01 0458 FCD DAD P; <u>Hardaway v.</u>
<u>Wright</u>, CV S 01 0958 GEB DAD P; <u>Hardaway v. State of California</u>, CV S 06 0695 MCE PAN
P. Plaintiff is therefore not entitled to proceed in forma pauperis unless he alleges facts
indicating that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. There are no such facts
alleged in this case.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis be denied pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
1915(g).

Plaintiff be directed to submit, within thirty days of the date of service of this order,
the \$350 filing fee in full. Plaintiff's failure to do so will result in dismissal of this action
pursuant to Local Rule 11-110 for failure to obey a court order.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B). Within thirty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <u>Martinez v.</u> <u>Ylst</u>, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

1	Dated: <u>February 6, 2008</u>	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
2		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
		3

I