

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LATWAHN MCELROY,

CASE NO. 1:07-cv-01677-OWW-SKO PC

Plaintiff,

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE,
WITHIN 30 DAYS, OPPOSITION OR
STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS

v.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Latwahn McElroy (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 15, 2009, Defendant Cope filed a motion to dismiss based on Plaintiff’s failure to exhaust his administrative remedies. (Doc. #29.) Plaintiff has not filed an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion to dismiss. By Local Rule 230(1), Plaintiff was required to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition within 21 days of the date of service of Defendant’s motion to dismiss.

Accordingly, within **thirty (30) days** from the date of service of this Order, Plaintiff shall file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendant’s motion to dismiss. **Plaintiff’s failure to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition in compliance with this order may result in this action being dismissed for failure to obey a court order and failure to prosecute.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 21, 2010

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE