c	ase 1:07-cv-01703-OWW-GSA	Document 5	Filed 12/03/2007	Page 1 of 1
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	THOMAS L. WILSON,		1:07-cv-1703 OWV	V-GSA (HC)
12	Petitioner,		ORDER DENYING	
13	vs. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL			
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT O CORRECTIONS AND REHABIL		(DOCUMENT #3)	
15 16	Respondent.			
10	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See e.g., Anderson v. Heinze</u> , 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u> , 358 U.S. 889 (1958); <u>Mitchell v. Wyrick</u> , 727 F.2d 773 (8th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u> , 469 U.S. 823 (1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See</u> Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	7	Ist Come S A	ustin
27	Dated:November 30, 2007/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
28				