(PC) Pruitt v. Clark, et al.		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	LA ISTERIO	istract of orthogram
9	WILLIAM B. PRUITT,	CASE NO. 1:07-cv-01709-AWI-SMS PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION
11	v.	(Doc. 24)
12	CLARK, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff William B. Pruitt, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this	
16	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on November 26, 2007. On March 7, 2011, Plaintiff	
17	filed a motion seeking an order directing the United States Marshal (USM) to serve Defendant	
18	Bonilla.	
19	The USM was directed to serve Defendant Bonilla on September 23, 2010, along with other	
20	four defendants. Although Defendant Bonilla did not join the other four defendants in waiving	
21	service and appearing in this action, the UMS has not concluded its attempts to locate and serve	
22	Defendant Bonilla. Until and unless the USM returns the summons and UMS-285 form as un-	
23	executed, there is no action to take. If service is returned un-executed, the Court will review the	
24	record at that time and determine the next appropriate step.	
25	Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion is HEREBY DENIED.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: March 14, 2011 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28		
		1

Doc. 25