1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	DESHA M. CARTER, CASE NO. 1:07-cv-01736-SMS PC
10	Plaintiff, ORDER STRIKING SURREPLY
11	v. (Doc. 56)
12	C. MUNOZ, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	/
15	On February 8, 2010, Plaintiff Desha M. Carter filed a surreply. Neither the Federal Rules
16	of Civil Procedure nor the Local Rules provide for the filing of a surreply as a matter of right and
17	the Court did not order that one be filed.
18	Accordingly, the surreply is HEREBY ORDERED STRICKEN from the record. Defendants'
19	motion for summary judgment was deemed submitted upon the filing of the reply, and the Court will
20	addressed the motion in due course. Local Rule 230(1).
21	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	Dated: February 9, 2010 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1