objections. January 12, 2010 has passed, and Petitioner has neither filed objections nor a request for more time in which to file objections.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)C) this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

25

26

27

28

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	 Respondent's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED;
3	2. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DISMISSED; and
4	3. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close this action.
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	<u>Dated: March 11, 2010</u> /s/ <u>Anthony W. Ishii</u> CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	embr of med distribution vodes
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2526	
27	
28	
ں∟	

U.S. District Court

E. D. California