| 1 2 | Rosy H. Cho, CBN 175704 Law Office of Rosy H. Cho 405 Sansome Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94111 | | |
|----------|--|---|--|
| 3 | San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 274-9920 Facsimile: (415) 781-6683 | | |
| 4 | e-mail: rosy@rosycholaw.com | | |
| 5 | Attorney for Plaintiffs | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 8 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 9 | FRESNO DIVISION | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 12 | NAGEEB KASSEM AND NORA KASSEM, | Case No. 1:08-cv-00010 AWI_SMS | |
| 13 | Plaintiffs, | STIPULATION TO CONTINUE | |
| 14 | V | OCTOBER 26, 2010 SCHEDULING CONFERENCE; ORDER THEREON | |
| 15 | JANET NAPOLITANO, et al., |) OKDER THEREON | |
| 16 | Defendants | | |
| 17 | |) | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 20 | TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD: | | |
| 21 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties and their respective | | |
| 22 | counsel, Rosy H. Cho, attorney for Plaintiffs Nageeb Kassem and Nora Kassem, Aram A. | | |
| 23 | Gavoor, attorney for Defendants, that: | | |
| 24 | WHEREAS, the Court has set a Scheduling Conference in the above-entitled | | |
| 25 | action for October 26, 2010; | | |
| 26 | WHEREAS, by order dated September 28, 2010, the Board of Immigration | | |
| 27 | Appeals has granted Plaintiffs' motion to reopen his immigration court proceedings so | | |
| 28 | that a newly-filed visa petition may be adjudicated by the United States Citizenship and | | |
| | | | |
| | | 1 | |
| | STIPULATION TO CONTINUE OCTOBER 26, 2010 SCHEDULING CONFERENCE; ORDER THEREON | | |

| 1 | Immigration Service ("USCIS"); | |
|---------|---|--|
| 2 | WHEREAS, the decision of the USCIS will impact whether Plaintiffs may obtain | |
| 3 | lawful permanent residency status for Mr. Nageeb Kassem and impact the course of this | |
| 4 | action; | |
| 5 | NOW, THEREFORE, counsel for the parties hereby stipulate and request that the | |
| 6 | Court enter an order as follows: | |
| 7 | 1. The Scheduling Conference shall be continued from October 26, 2010 for | |
| 8 | at least ninety (90) days, or thereafter as is convenient for the Court. | |
| 9 10 | The parties respectfully request that the Court approve this Stipulation. | |
| 10 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Dated: October 13, 2010By: /s/ Rosy H. Cho Rosy H. Cho, Esq. | |
| 14 | Attorney for Plaintiffs | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Dated: October 13, 2010By: /s/ Aram A. Gavoor Aram A. Gavoor, Esq. | |
| 17 | Attorney for Defendants | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | ORDER | |
| 20 | The above stipulation by the parties is hereby approved by the Court. | |
| 21 | The Scheduling Conference hearing is continued from October 26, 2010 to January 19, | |
| 22 | 2011 at 9:15 a.m. in Courtroom Seven before Magistrate Judge Sandra M. Snyder. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | Dated: October 14, 2010 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 2 | |
| | STIPULATION TO CONTINUE OCTOBER 26, 2010 SCHEDULING CONFERENCE; ORDER THEREON | |

Ш