

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

SUSIE DORNAY,

CASE NO. 1:08-cv-00036 JLT

Plaintiff,

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS
SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE
TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT’S ORDER**

v.

(Doc. 16)

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,
Commissioner of Social Security

Defendant.

_____ /

On January 2, 2008, Plaintiff filed a complaint seeking reversal of the Social Security Agency’s determination to deny her benefits. (Doc. 2). On June 24, 2008, pursuant to a stipulation of the parties, the Court directed remand of this matter to the Commissioner pursuant to sentence six of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), for further proceedings. (Doc. 16). In that order, the Court directed the parties “to file a joint status report every 60 days from the date of this Order. The joint status report shall summarize the actions of both parties, and the assigned Administrative Law Judge, to cause this case to be completed in a prompt and efficient manner, and shall include, without limitation, a description of what actions have been taken and when they were accomplished, and what further actions will be taken and when they will be accomplished.” (Id. at 2). The Court further warned the parties that failure to comply with this requirement could result in the imposition of sanctions. (Id.)

1 Review of the record indicates that the parties have not filed a Joint Status Report in this
2 matter in nearly four months.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall have 20 days from service of
4 this order to SHOW CAUSE why sanctions should not be imposed for failing to comply with the
5 Court's order.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: April 29, 2010

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28