

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARCHIE CRANFORD,

Plaintiff,

v.

DIANE SALBER, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:08-cv-00063-SKO PC

ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF’S
MOTION FOR CASE STATUS

(Doc. 32)

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION
TO COMPEL, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AS
PROCEDURALLY DEFICIENT

(Doc. 33)

Plaintiff Archie Cranford, a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on January 14, 2008. On May 12, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the status of his case, and on June 3, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion to compel.

The Court does not provide status reports and Plaintiff’s motion is therefore ORDERED DISREGARDED.¹

Plaintiff’s motion to compel is not properly supported and must be denied. If Plaintiff chooses to renew his motion, he must make a showing that he properly served his discovery requests on Defendants’ counsel and that either (1) more than forty-five days passed without receipt of a

¹ Plaintiff’s motion evidences his awareness that there is a scheduling order in place in this action.

1 response or (2) the responses received were deficient.² If responses were received but are being
2 challenged by Plaintiff, he is required to provide a copy of the responses, identify which responses
3 are at issue, and set forth why the responses are deficient.

4 Plaintiff's conclusory sentence that he "filed a set of admissions and a set of interrogatories"
5 but received no response falls well short of providing the Court with sufficient information to issue
6 a ruling on the merits of this discovery dispute. (Doc. 33.) Accordingly, Defendants are relieved
7 of their obligation to file a response to the motion, Local Rule 230(1), and the motion is HEREBY
8 ORDERED DENIED, without prejudice to renewal.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 **Dated: June 7, 2011**

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

² Pursuant to Rule 6(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which provides for an additional three days for service by mail, and the Court's discovery order, which provides a forty-five day response period, Defendants are required to serve their responses by mail no later than forty-eight days after service of the requests by Plaintiff.