1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	MAXIMILIAN MONCLOVA-CHAVEZ,	CASE NO. 1:08-cv-00076-AWI-BAM	
10	,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION	
11		FOR SCHEDULING A SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
12	ERIC McEACHERN, et al.,	(ECF No. 116)	
13	Defendants.		
14	/		
15	Plaintiff Maximilian Monclova-Chavez ("Plaintiff") is a federal prisoner proceeding in this		
16	civil action pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403		
17	U.S. 388, 91 S.Ct. 1999 (1971), which provides a remedy for violation of civil rights by federal		
18	actors. This action is proceeding on the complaint, filed January 15, 2008, against Defendants		
19	Miller, White, and Tincher for violations of the Eighth Amendment. ¹ (ECF No. 1.) On May 30,		
20	2012, a telephonic hearing was held to determine if the parties to this action are amendable to		
21	settlement and whether a settlement conference should be scheduled.		
22	During the hearing, Defendants White and Miller indicated that they are not interested in		
23	pursuing settlement of this action and wish to proceed to trial. ² Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to		
24	schedule a settlement conference shall be denied. The parties are encouraged to engage in settlement		
25			
26	¹ On December 8, 2010, default was entered against Defendant McEachern. (ECF Nos. 71, 72.)		
27	² On April 3, 2012, all parties indicated that they were not interested in settlement. Counsel for Defendant		

²⁰ April 3, 2012, all parties indicated that they were not interested in settlement. Counsel for Defendant 28 Tincher did not appear at the hearing on May 30, 2012, so the Court has no information that Defendant Tincher is amenable to settlement at this time.

1	discussions, and if the parties are agreeable to participating in a settlement conference, they shall so	
2	notify the Court. If the Court receives a stipulation that the parties are agreeable to settlement, a	
3	settlement conference will be scheduled.	
4	Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for a settlement	
5	conference is DENIED, without prejudice, and the telephonic hearing set for June 18, 2012, at 1:30	
6	p.m. before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii shall remain on calendar for the motion to bifurcate	
7	hearing.	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated:May 30, 2012/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffeUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	