

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KELVIN X. SINGLETON,		1:08-cv-00095 AWI GSA PC
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S
)	MOTION FOR AUTHENTICATION
v.)	OF DOCUMENTS
)	(ECF NO. 190)
S. LOPEZ, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
<hr style="width: 40%; margin-left: 0;"/>		

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action. Pending before the Court is Plaintiff’s motion for authentication of documents.

Plaintiff titles his motion as an “ex parte request for evidentiary hearing on documents submitted in summary judgment proceedings.” Plaintiff appears to seek an evidentiary hearing in order to authenticate certain documents. Plaintiff indicates that he “just found out on August 18, 2011, that in order for documents to be authenticated, it must come from a custodial person or someone with personal knowledge.” Plaintiff seek an extension of time of 60 days in order to authenticate medical records by way of admissions.”

On September 8, 2011, the Court entered an order, construing Plaintiff’s request as motion to continue the motion for summary judgment. On September 12, 2011, Defendants filed an opposition to the motion. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(d)(2), if Plaintiff shows by affidavit or declaration that for specified reasons he cannot present facts to oppose the

