1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	BIANCA SORIA,	) NO. 1:08-CV-00155-AWI-DLB
11	Plaintiff,	) ORDER CLOSING CASE IN
12 13	V.	<ul> <li>LIGHT OF STIPULATION FOR</li> <li>DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE</li> </ul>
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, KAWEAH DELTA HEALTH CARE	)
15	DISTRICT, et al.,	) )
16	Defendants.	) )
17		
18		
19	this matter pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A).	
20		
21		
22	dismissal before the opposing party serv summary judgment; (ii) a stipulated dism	es either an answer or a motion for
23	appeared.	missar signed by an parties who have
24	Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily, after service of an	
25	answer, by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties who have appeared,	
26	although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan	
27	<u>Asso.</u> , 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); <u>Eitel v. McCool</u> , 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir.	
28	1986). Once the stipulation between the parties	who have appeared is properly filed or made in

1	open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro.	
2	41(a)(1)(ii); Eitel, 782 F.2d at 1473 n.4. "Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule	
3	41(a) (1) (ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and	
4	does not require judicial approval." In re Wolf, 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); Gardiner v.	
5	A.H. Robins Co., 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG,	
6	377 F.3d 133, 139 (2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074,	
7	1077 (9th Cir. 1999) <u>cf</u> . <u>Wilson v. City of San Jose</u> , 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)	
8	(addressing Rule 41(a)(1) dismissals). "The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants,	
9	or some or all of his claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice," and the dismissal "automatically	
10	terminates the action as to the defendants who are the subjects of the notice." <u>Wilson</u> , 111 F.3d	
11	at 692; Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995).	
12	Because the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under	
13	Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has	
14	terminated. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); In re Wolf, 842 F.2d at 466; Gardiner, 747	
15	F.2d at 1189; see also Gambale, 377 F.3d at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt, 193 F.3d at 1077; cf.	
16	<u>Wilson</u> , 111 F.3d at 692.	
17	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk is ordered to close this case in light	
18	of the filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) Stipulation Of Dismissal With Prejudice.	
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	Dated:       August 7, 2009       /s/ Anthony W. Ishii         CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22	CHILI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	

I