UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KELLY MORGAN, 1:08-cv-00233-LJO-GSA-PC Plaintiff, ORDER RESPONDING TO PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS (Doc. 85.) VS. ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S ORDER JAMES TILTON, et al., Defendants. ISSUED ON DECEMBER 6, 2013 (Doc. 84.) THIRTY DAY DEADLINE TO FILE OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT HERNANDEZ' MOTION TO DISMISS

Kelly Morgan ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action on February 15, 2008. (Doc. 1.) This case now proceeds on Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, filed on July 28, 2011, against defendant Correctional Officer M. Hernandez ("Defendant") for retaliation and obstruction of mail, in violation of the First Amendment. (Doc. 45.)

¹All remaining claims and defendants were dismissed from this action by the Court on October 17, 2011, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim. (Doc. 52.)

12

14

16

17

18 19

20

22

24

25

26

27

28

On December 6, 2013, the court issued an order requiring Plaintiff to file an opposition, or notice of non-opposition, to Defendant's motion to dismiss this case, within thirty days. (Doc. 84.) On December 19, 2013, Plaintiff filed Objections to the court's order. (Doc. 85.)

Plaintiff asserts that he received the court's order of December 6, 2013, but he cannot litigate this action because he is presently housed in a psychiatric unit at the prison. Plaintiff requests the court to resolve this case in his favor.

Plaintiff's Objections do not comply with the court's order of December 6, 2013. Plaintiff was ordered to file either an "opposition" or "notice of non-opposition" to Defendant's motion to dismiss. Plaintiff shall be granted thirty days in which to comply with the court's order. Should Plaintiff require an extension of time, he should file a motion for extension of time before the thirty day deadline expires. As Plaintiff was forewarned in the court's order, the court will deem any failure to oppose Defendant's' motion to dismiss as a waiver, and recommend that the motion be granted on that basis. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52 (9th Cir. 1995), cert. denied 516 U.S. 838 (1995) (dismissal upheld even where plaintiff contends he did not receive motion to dismiss, where plaintiff had adequate notice, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), and time to file opposition).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Within thirty days of the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall comply with the court's order of December 6, 2013, by filing either an "opposition" or "statement of nonopposition" to the motion to dismiss filed by Defendant on October 30, 2013; and
- 2. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, the court will deem the failure to respond as a waiver, and recommend that the motion be granted on that basis.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **December 31, 2013**

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE