

1 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
 WARREN E. GEORGE (SBN 53588)
 2 MANU PRADHAN (SBN 253026)
 Three Embarcadero Center
 3 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
 Telephone: 415.393.2000
 4 Facsimile: 415.393.2286
warren.george@bingham.com
 5 manu.pradhan@bingham.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Maria del Rosario
 Corona and Andres Santana

7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 MARIA DEL ROSARIO CORONA, AS HEIR
 OF THE ESTATE OF OSCAR CRUZ, et. al.,

12

Plaintiffs,

13

v.

14 KELLY HARRINGTON, et al.,

15

Defendants.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Case No. 1:08-CV-00237-LJO-DLB

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
 REGARDING EXPERT
 DISCLOSURES**

Case No. 1:08-CV-00237-LJO-DLB

STIPULATION REGARDING EXPERT DISCLOSURES; ORDER

1 **STIPULATION**

2 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(a)(2), the parties stipulate to an extension of the
3 deadline in the Scheduling Order issued on June 30, 2011 (Docket Item # 97) regarding expert
4 disclosures.

5 At the parties' request, the Court originally ordered that expert disclosures should occur
6 two weeks after the close of fact discovery: fact discovery was to close on December 2 and
7 expert disclosures were to occur on December 16. (Docket Item ## 95, 97). Thereafter, the
8 Court approved the parties' stipulation to extend fact discovery by three months, but did not
9 expressly order an extension of time related to expert disclosures. (Docket Item # 99). The
10 parties agree that the expert disclosure deadline should be made consistent with the new fact
11 discovery cut-off date. (Declaration of Manu Pradhan, ¶ 2). Further, Defendants' document
12 production is not yet complete. (*Id.*, ¶ 3.) Therefore, the parties propose that the Court extend
13 the date for expert disclosures to March 16, 2012, which is two weeks after the current fact
14 discovery cutoff. This extension of time does not affect any other case deadlines set forth in the
15 Court's most recent scheduling order.

16 DATE: December 14, 2011

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP

17
18
19 By: _____ /s/
Manu Pradhan
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

20
21 DATE: December 14, 2011

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA

22
23
24 By: _____ /s/
Kelli M. Hammond
Attorney for Defendants

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

ORDER

GOOD CAUSE showing, IT IS SO ORDERED that the parties' stipulation regarding expert disclosures is approved.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **December 14, 2011**

/s/ Dennis L. Bek
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE