

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY KEEL,

Plaintiff,

1: 08 CV 0242 OWW WMW PC

vs.

ORDER RE: FINDINGS &
RECOMMENDATIONS (#25)

A. HEDGPETH, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

On March 18, 2009, [findings and recommendations](#) were entered, recommending dismissal of certain defendants. Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections within thirty days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73-305, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

1 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on March
2 18, 2009, are adopted in full; and

3 2. Defendants Hedgpeth, Gricewich, Pfeiffer, Adams, Stokes, Ayers and Mash
4 are dismissed.

5
6
7
8
9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 **Dated:** April 20, 2009

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE