

1 shall be stricken, and will not be reviewed by this Court. Respondent need not respond to the
2 motion.

3 Petitioner also filed a motion to this Court's order directing Respondent to file a
4 response. (Order to Respond, ECF No. 57; Mot. to Order to Respond, ECF No. 60.) The order
5 directed Respondent to file a response, and Respondent did so in the form of an answer on
6 July 19, 2010. (Answer.) Petitioner was not required to respond to the order, and his motion
7 to the order to respond was not procedurally appropriate. However, Petitioner may now respond
8 to Respondent's answer by filing a traverse, or alternatively, a motion for extension of time to
9 file the traverse. The Court shall strike the current motion. Respondent need not respond to
10 the motion.

11 Accordingly, Petitioner's motion to objection and motion to order directing Respondent
12 to file a response are stricken. [Docs. 55, 60.]

13
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: August 26, 2010

1st Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28