П

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	
7	FRANK COCKRELL,
8	Plaintiff, 1: 08 CV 0259 AWI WMW PC
9	vs. ORDER
10	
11	
12	AVALOS,
13	Defendant.
14	
15	On February 3,2009, an order was entered, finding that the operative pleading stated a
16	claim for relief as to Defendant Avalos. Plaintiff was directed to complete and return to the court
17	forms for service of process upon Defendant Avalos. The February 3, 2009, order inadvertently
18	made reference to a different plaintiff and different defendants in the text of the order. This
19	action proceeds against Defendant Avalos only.
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
21	1. The February 3, 2009, order is vacated.
22	2. The Clerk's Office is directed to process the USM 285 form submitted by Plaintiff for
23	service of process upon Defendant Avalos.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	Dated: February 17, 2009 /s/ William M. Wunderlich UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	1
	1