(PC) Broughton v.	Yates et al		Doc. 7
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNIT!	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	KENDALL BROUGHTON,	1:08-cv-00283-AWI-SMS-PC	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
12	vs.	(Doc. 6.)	
13	JAMES YATES, et al.,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR REMAND	
14	Defendants.	(Doc. 5.)	
15	Detendants.		
16	Kendall Broughton ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights		
17	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge		
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.		
19	On February 18, 2009, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending		
20	that plaintiff's request for this action to be remanded to the Fresno County Superior Court be denied.		
21	Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations within		
22	thirty days. To date, plaintiff has not file	d objections or otherwise responded to the findings and	
23	recommendations.		
24	In accordance with the pro	visions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73-	
25	305, this court has conducted a de novo re	eview of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire	
26	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper		
27	analysis.		
28		1	

1	Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:
2	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on
3	February 18, 2009, are ADOPTED in full; and
4	2. Plaintiff's request for remand, filed March 14, 2008, is DENIED.
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	Dated: April 16, 2009 /s/ Anthony W. Ishii CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
28	
40	2