UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Case No. 1:08-cv-00351-DLB (PC)

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR

ENTRY OF DEFAULT AND DENYING

REQUEST FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff.

v. J. WILBER, et al.,

Defendants.

(Doc. 45)

Plaintiff Ricky James ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding against defendants J. Wilbur, Siene, and Johnson ("Defendants") for violation of the Eighth Amendment. This action was reassigned to the undersigned on October 8, 2009. (Doc. 41.) On November 16, 2009, Plaintiff filed a "request for entry of default with the clerk of court and simultaneously move for contempt." (Doc. 45.)

All three defendants submitted waivers of service, and agreed to submit answers to Plaintiff's complaint by June 12, 2009. (Docs. 24, 25, 26.) On May 4, 2009, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss in part for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. (Doc. 27.) While this was a defense to the complaint, it is not a responsive pleading as defined in Rule 7(a). Service of a motion postpones the filing of a responsive pleading to within ten (10) days after

notice of the court's action. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4). The Court issued its order regarding 1 2 Defendants' motion to dismiss on October 14, 2009, granting Defendants' motion to dismiss in part. (Doc. 42.) More than ten days have passed since the issuance of that order. As of the date 3 4 of service of this order, Defendants have failed to file a responsive pleading. Pursuant to Federal 5 Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a), default may be entered against a party that fails to plead or otherwise defend within the time set forth in the Federal Rules. Accordingly, Plaintiff's request 6 7 for entry of default is granted. 8 Plaintiff simultaneously moves for a finding of contempt against Defendants and seeks an 9 award of damages. The Court construes this as a request for entry of default judgment.² With regard to Plaintiff's request for default judgment, Plaintiff is advised that he may not simply 10 11 demand that the Court order Defendants to pay the relief he requested in his complaint. Plaintiff 12 must submit evidence in support of the amount of damages claimed. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b). 13 Because Plaintiff has submitted no evidence in support of this demand, the Court must deny 14 Plaintiff's request for entry of default judgment without prejudice. 15 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that: 16

- 1. Plaintiff's request for entry of default against defendants J. Wilbur, Siene, and Johnson, filed November 16, 2009, is GRANTED;
- 2. The Clerk of the Court shall enter default against defendants J. Wilbur, Siene, and Johnson; and
- 3. Plaintiff's request for entry of default judgment is DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 30, 2009 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ The language of subsection (A) appears to limit the 10-day requirement to situations in which the Court

24

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

23

26

27

28

"denies the motion or postpones its disposition until trial." Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(4)(A). This provision logically

extends to situations in which a defendant's motion to dismiss is granted in part, as was the outcome here.

² Plaintiff may be referring to 18 U.S.C. § 401, which states that "[a] court of the United States shall have power to punish by fine or imprisonment, or both, at its discretion, such contempt of its authority." The Court declines to exercise such power in this instance as it is unnecessary.