(PC) James v. Wilber et al

Doc. 85

1 In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even 2 lif it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. The Court is 3 faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, based on the record, the Court does not find that 5 Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his Eighth Amendment claim, which is not particularly complex.1 6 7 With respect to Plaintiff's contentions regarding law library access, the second scheduling order sets forth the deadlines applicable to Plaintiff, and upon presentation of that order to the appropriate staff member, Plaintiff should be entitled to some sort of minimal accommodation necessary to prepare for trial and to comply with the deadlines.² Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY 11 12 DENIED. 13 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. **Dated:** August 14, 2012 15 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE** 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 ¹ While Plaintiff would undoubtedly benefit from the appointment of counsel, that is not the criterion for 26

27

28

appointment.

² Plaintiff is reminded that there is no constitutionally protected entitlement to litigate effectively once in court, however. Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. 343, 354, 116 S.Ct. 2174 (1996).