1 2	Mr. Carey H. Johnson #40879 STAMMER, McKNIGHT, BARNUM & BAILEY LLP 2540 W. Shaw Lane, Suite 110 Fresno, California 93711 Telephone: (559) 449-0571 Facsimile: (559) 432-2619 Attorneys for Defendant, FRESNO COMMUNITY HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER, dba COMMUNITY REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER	
3		
4		
5		
6	TINITED OF ATEG DIGITALOT COLUMN	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	MICHAEL TATER-ALEXANDER,)	Case No.: 1:08-cv-00372-OWW-SKO
11	Plaintiff,	FURTHER REVISED ORDER ON
12	vs.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADD WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE, AND
13	LONNIE R. AMERJAN, CITY OF CLOVIS,) TINA STIRLING, COMMUNITY	ON OTHER MATTERS
14	REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, DR.	Hearing Date: March 31, 2011
15	THOMAS E. MANSFIELD, MARY JO GREENE, and, DOES 1 through 100,	Time: 12:00 noon Courtroom: 3
16	inclusive,	Trial: May 3, 2011
17	Defendants.	11 12j 0, 2011
18))	
19)	
20	On March 31, 2011, the motion of Defendant FRESNO COMMUNITY HOSPITAL	
21	AND MEDICAL CENTER for an order permitting it to add witnesses and evidence, and	
22		
23	to consider other matters, came on for hearing before the undersigned upon proper	
24	notice. Carey H. Johnson appeared in court on behalf of the defendant and moving	
25	party, Fresno Community Hospital and Medical Center, and Andrew V. Stearns	
26	appeared by telephone on behalf of plaintiff Michael Tater-Alexander. The Court,	
27	having considered the motion, the points and authorities and declaration in support of	
28		
	- 1 – Tater-Alexander v. Amerjan, et al. U.S. District Court Case No.: 1:08-cv-00372-OWW-SKO FURTHER REVISED ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADD WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE, AND ON OTHER MATTERS	

good cause appearing therefor, finds as follows:

the motion, the written opposition to the motion, and the comments of counsel, and

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADD WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE

On February 28, 2011, Defendant FRESNO COMMUNITY HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER filed a motion to permit it to add, and use at trial, witnesses Mary Contreras and David Arguijo who were listed in the Supplemental Joint Pre-Trial Statement but not previously disclosed, witnesses Ramon Flores, R.N., and Security Officers Adam Perez, Brian Pond and Garrett Waterston who were not listed in the Supplemental Joint Pre-Trial Statement or previously disclosed, and to utilize an exemplar of the gown the plaintiff was asked to wear and an exemplar of a blanket which was kept warm and available to persons who were cold in the Emergency Department, which were not listed in the Supplemental Joint Pre-Trial Statement. Defendant's motion was filed prior to the filing of the Final Pre-Trial Order.

An oral request for the above was made by Mr. Johnson at the hearing on the Motions in Limine which took place on February 18, 2011. At that time the Court ordered that plaintiff's counsel could depose any of the potential witnesses. Andrew Stearns advised in his opposition to defendant's motion that he had taken the depositions of Mary Contreras and David Arguijo and that plaintiff did not oppose calling those witnesses at trial, or using exhibits produced at their depositions at trial. The testimony of those witnesses, and the use of the exhibits produced at those depositions, shall be permitted at trial if otherwise relevant and admissible.

Witnesses Ramon Flores, R.N., and Security Officers Adam Perez, Brian Pond and Garrett Waterston were involved with plaintiff at Fresno Community Hospital and Medical Center on September 6, 2009. There shall be no reference to plaintiff's visit to Fresno Community Hospital and Medical Center on September 6, 2009, or to other FCH&MC facilities on any dates after March 17-20, 2007, for the purpose of showing that the plaintiff has come to Fresno Community Hospital and Medical Center facilities on a number of occasions. Evidence for that purpose would be relevant to an injunction. The jury will not decide on an injunction; that is for the Court to decide. If necessary to the consideration of an injunction, such evidence can be introduced or stipulated to after the jurors answer the questions which will be submitted to them. Defendant's motion is granted, however, to the extent such witnesses may be used for impeachment of the plaintiff.

Defendant's motion to use as exemplars a gown of the type plaintiff declined to wear on March 17 and 18, 2007, and a blanket of the type which could have been provided, is granted subject to counsel laying the foundation through testimony that the gown and blanket are the same type as those in use at Clovis Community Medical Center in March, 2007. Plaintiff's counsel may inspect and photograph the gown and blanket. Mr. Johnson brought the gown and blanket to the hearing and they were described for the record.

HEARING ON MOTIONS IN LIMINE SET FOR APRIL 29, 2011, VACATED

The hearing on Motions in Limine set for April 29, 2011, at 12:00 noon, is vacated. Counsel advised the Court that there are only three Motions in Limine, of the

- 3 -

Tater-Alexander v. Amerjan, et al.
U.S. District Court Case No.: 1:08-cv-00372-OWW-SKO
FURTHER REVISED ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADD WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE, AND ON
OTHER MATTERS

many argued on February 18, 2011, for which the parties can not agree on the Court's Order, and that counsel had agreed to brief their positions. Defendant's brief was filed on March 4, 2011; plaintiff's brief was filed on April 14, 2011. The Court will issue a ruling, or will schedule a hearing to discuss the motions further.

QUESTIONS TO BE SUMITTED TO THE JURY

The Court ordered counsel to work together in an effort to agree upon the questions that will be submitted to the jury. Counsel have attempted to reach agreement without success, and request the Court to take the issue up on May 2, 2011, the day before trial. A copy of Defendant's proposed questions is attached.

Dated: May 5, 2011 /s/ OLIVER W. WANGER
United States District Judge

- 4 –

Tater-Alexander v. Amerjan, et al.
U.S. District Court Case No.: 1:08-cv-00372-OWW-SKO
FURTHER REVISED ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ADD WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE, AND ON
OTHER MATTERS