13 v. AND REQUESTS 14 JOHNSON, et al., (ECF No. 107, 111, 115, 122, 123, 125) 15 Defendants. (ECF No. 107, 111, 115, 122, 123, 125) 16 This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael 17 This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael 19 At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered 10 heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and 12 Issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to 16 appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No 122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107)				
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 1 11 JAWWAAD HASAN, 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 JOHNSON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16	1			
4 5 6 7 8 9 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 19 111 112 113 114 115 115 116 117 118 118 119 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111	2			
5 6 7 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 11 JAWWAAD HASAN, 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 JOHNSON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16	3			
6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 JAWWAAD HASAN, 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 JOHNSON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 Image: Comparison of the Court's denial of his motion to the ard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to the appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 16 110, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 107)	4			
7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 JAWWAAD HASAN, Case No. 1:08-cv-0381-MJS 11 JAWWAAD HASAN, Case No. 1:08-cv-0381-MJS 12 Plaintiff, AMENDED ORDER REGARDING MISCELLANEOUS PRETRIAL MOTIONS AND REQUESTS 14 JOHNSON, et al., (ECF No. 107, 111, 115, 122, 123, 125) 15 Defendants. 16 . 17 This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael J. Seng. 18 At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No 122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107)	5			
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 1:08-cv-0381-MJS 11 JAWWAAD HASAN, Case No. 1:08-cv-0381-MJS 12 Plaintiff, AMENDED ORDER REGARDING MISCELLANEOUS PRETRIAL MOTIONS AND REQUESTS 13 v. 14 JOHNSON, et al., (ECF No. 107, 111, 115, 122, 123, 125) 15 Defendants. 16 This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michaele J. Seng. 18 At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF No. 115, 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107)	6			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 JAWWAAD HASAN, 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 JOHNSON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael 19 At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered 10 heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115, 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 107)	7			
Image: Description of the Court's denial of his motion to tappoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 107) EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Image: Description of the Court's denial of his motion to tappoint coursel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 107) Case No. 1:08-cv-0381-MJS Image: Description of the Court's denial of his motion to tappoint coursel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 107) Image: Description of the Court's denial of the court considered witnesses (ECF No. 107)	-	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
11 JAWWAAD HASAN, Case No. 1:08-cv-0381-MJS 12 Plaintiff, AMENDED ORDER REGARDING 13 v. AMENDED ORDER REGARDING 14 JOHNSON, et al., (ECF No. 107, 111, 115, 122, 123, 125) 15 Defendants. 16 1 17 This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael 18 J. Seng. 20 At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered 21 heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and 22 appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115, 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF Nos. 107)		EASTERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA	
12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 JOHNSON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 Image: State of the trace of trace of the trace of trace	_			
13 v. 14 JOHNSON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 . 17 This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael 19 At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered 10 heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and 12 appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 16, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107)	11	JAWWAAD HASAN,	Case No. 1:08-cv-0381-MJS	
14 JOHNSON, et al., (ECF No. 107, 111, 115, 122, 123, 125) 15 Defendants. 16			MISCELLANEOUS PRETRIAL MOTIONS	
 Defendants. This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael J. Seng. At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 107) 	14			
 This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael J. Seng. At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 107) Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107) 	15		(,,,,,,,,	
 This action is set for jury trial on January 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael J. Seng. At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No 122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107) 	16			
 J. Seng. At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No 122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107) 	17			
At an October 25, 2013, telephonic pretrial conference the Court considered heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No 122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107)	18	This action is set for jury trial on Janu	ary 28, 2014, before the Honorable Michael	
 heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107) 	19	J. Seng.		
 issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 107) Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107) 	20	At an October 25, 2013, telephonic	pretrial conference the Court considered,	
 issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 107) Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107) 	21	heard argument on, and ruled on the following miscellaneous pending motions and		
 appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's request for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115) 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107) 		issues: Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration	on of the Court's denial of his motion to	
 116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No 122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107) 		appoint counsel (ECF No. 111); Plaintiff's re	quest for an expert witness (ECF Nos. 115,	
122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107)		116, 122, 125); Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No.		
		122); Plaintiff's request to bring to trial incarcerated witnesses (ECF No. 107);		
		Defendant Johnson's motion for an in camera review of documents (ECF No. 125); and		
Plaintiff's request for additional discovery to include the personnel files of Defendan		Plaintiff's request for additional discovery to	o include the personnel files of Defendant	
Johnson's potential trial witnesses (ECF Nos. 107, 123).		Johnson's potential trial witnesses (ECF Nos	. 107, 123).	

The Court here memorializes it rulings on those motions.

I. <u>PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF HIS MOTION FOR</u> APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Plaintiff has moved for reconsideration of the Court's previous decisions to deny
his motions for appointment of counsel. Plaintiff alleges that his various medical
conditions prevent him from properly litigating this case on his own.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6) allows the Court to relieve a party from an order for any reason that justifies relief. Rule 60(b)(6) is to be used sparingly as an equitable remedy to prevent manifest injustice and is to be utilized only where extraordinary circumstances exist. Harvest v. Castro, 531 F.3d 737, 749 (9th Cir. 2008) (quotations marks and citation omitted). The moving party must demonstrate both injury and circumstances beyond his control. Id. (quotation marks and citation omitted). Further, Local Rule 230(j) requires, in relevant part, that Plaintiff show "what new or different facts or circumstances are claimed to exist which did not exist or were not shown upon such prior motion, or what other grounds exist for the motion," and "why the facts or circumstances were not shown at the time of the prior motion."

"A motion for reconsideration should not be granted, absent highly unusual circumstances, unless the district court is presented with newly discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the controlling law," <u>Marlyn Nutraceuticals, Inc. v. Mucos Pharma GmbH & Co.</u>, 571 F.3d 873, 880 (9th Cir. 2009) (internal quotations marks and citations omitted, and "[a] party seeking reconsideration must show more than a disagreement with the Court's decision, and recapitulation . . . " of that which was already considered by the Court in rendering its decision," <u>United States v. Westlands Water Dist.</u>, 134 F.Supp.2d 1111, 1131 (E.D. Cal. 2001).

Plaintiff has not provided new evidence, shown that the Court committed clear error, or pointed to a change in the controlling law. It remains that Plaintiff does not have a constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel in this action. <u>Rand v.</u> <u>Rowland</u>, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997). The Court cannot require an attorney to

1 represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District 2 Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). Moreover, Plaintiff 3 continues to shepherd this case through the system and while most benefit from the assistance of experienced trial counsel, Plaintiff has shown an ability to pursue his case 4 on his own. He is free to retain counsel of his choosing, but the Court is unable to 5 appoint counsel to represent him free of charge at this time. 6

7

9

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

II.

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR AN EXPERT WITNESS

Plaintiff has made a belated request to subpoena an incarcerated former medical 8 doctor, James Daly, to testify at trial as an expert medical witness. (ECF No. 115, 116, 122.) Defendant Johnson objects that Daly is neither a percipient witness nor qualified 10 to give expert medical opinion testimony. (ECF No. 121.) Plaintiff replies that Daly has reviewed, or can review, Plaintiff's medical records relevant to this case and is 12 sufficiently trained and experienced to opine on their contents and, specifically, as to 13 what they reflect about the nature of Plaintiff's injuries and the forces necessary to 14 produce such injuries. 15

Without ruling on the witness's competence to testify as an expert witness or in the manner described, the Court will tentatively order him to be produced for trial subject to the following conditions: Within fourteen (14) days of the date of this order, Plaintiff shall provide Defendant Johnson a copy of the medical records Inmate Daly has reviewed or will review; Defendant Johnson may depose Inmate Daly prior to the start of trial in this matter provided Plaintiff is allowed to attend the deposition either in person or telephonically and ask follow up questions to defense counsel's questions; and, Defendant retains the right to move in limine to preclude or restrict testimony from the witness.

III. 25

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO ADD ADDITIONAL CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff has submitted an addendum to his pretrial order in which he requests to add additional claims and defendants to this action. (ECF No. 122.) All deadlines for such amendment having long ago passed and their being no good cause, or any

justification, for such amendment, this request is denied in its entirety.

2

IV.

1

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR INCARCERATED WITNESSES

3 Plaintiff seeks to have six incarcerated individuals brought to trial to testify in this action. (ECF No. 107.) Defendant Johnson has objected to production of Inmates 4 Exmundo, Bailey, Dunbar, and Hamilton as there is no indication they were percipient 5 witnesses or have any admissible evidence relating to the issues in this case. Plaintiff 6 believes these witnesses may testify about other instances where Defendant Johnson 7 spontaneously used unnecessary force against inmates in order to show he was a 8 "roque" officer likely to act as Plaintiff alleges he did toward Plaintiff. Based on the 9 argument presented the Court finds such evidence precluded by FRE 404 (b) and will not permit it.

It being represented that Inmates Hackworth and Burns witnessed the events at issue in this action, they will be produced at trial.

V. <u>DEFENDANT JOHNSON'S MOTION FOR AN IN CAMERA REVIEW OF</u> <u>DOCUMENTS</u>

Defendant Johnson moved the Court for an <u>in camera</u> review of documents reflecting evidence from an incarcerated confidential informant who could be called as a witness to impeach Plaintiff and his claims at trial. (ECF No. 125.)

The Court will conduct an <u>in camera</u> review of the documents. If the Court concludes that the witness has relevant evidence to offer, it will allow Defendant Johnson to call him to testify at trial provided Defendant first arranges to enable Plaintiff's telephonic deposition of the witness (incognito) at Defendant's expense. If the witness is called to testify, the Court will address at the start of trial the propriety of disclosing the witness's identity to Plaintiff or, alternatively, shielding his identity from Plaintiff even during trial.

VI. <u>PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY AND PERSONNEL</u> <u>FILES</u>

Plaintiff seeks discovery and production at trial of the personnel files of

Defendant Johnson and of each of the prison employees listed as potential trial
 witnesses by Defendant Johnson. (ECF No. 123.) Plaintiff has also requested
 additional discovery in this matter. (ECF No. 107.)

The time for discovery and compelling further discovery in this case expired long
ago. No good cause has been presented for reopening discovery. It shall remain
closed.

As to Defendant Johnson's personnel file, within **fourteen (14) days** of entry of this order, Defendant Johnson shall provide the Court with a copy of his personnel file (excluding routine pay, tax, health, and other personal information except insofar as it may reflect adverse personnel action having been initiated against said defendant) for the Court's <u>in camera</u> review. The Court will determine from such review whether there exists information relevant to this case and, if so, the terms, if any, upon which it may be provided to Plaintiff.

₁₄ **V**.

15

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR UNINCARCERATED WITNESSES

Pursuant to the Court's Second Scheduling Order, Plaintiff has requested that the Court subpoena Correctional Officer D. Valtierra of Corcoran State Prison, Correctional Officer J. Gonzales of Corcoran State Prison, Correctional Officer Harashia of Corcoran State Prison, Correctional Officer K. Malloy of Corcoran State Prison, K. Kohler a nurse at Corcoran State Prison, C. Marquez a nurse at Corcoran State Prison, J. Neubarth a doctor at Corcoran State Prison, K. Win a doctor at CSP-Solano, David Smith a doctor at Memorial Hospital, John Klarich a doctor at Memorial Hospital, and Mark Kowell a doctor at Twin Cities Community Hospital. (ECF No. 107.)

Plaintiff has failed to provide the Court with sufficient information to subpoena Smith, Klarich, and Kowell. At the time he submits his money orders Plaintiff must provide the Court with more complete contact information for them to include the addresses for the hospitals where they are employed.

/////

/////

1 /////

Plaintiff identifies the work location of Valtierra, Gonzales, Harashia, Malloy,
Koehler, Marquez, Neubarth, Smith, and Klarich¹ as Corcoran State Prison, 4001 King
Avenue, Corcoran, California. The round trip mileage from Corcoran to Fresno is 109.2
miles. The mileage rate is 55.5 cents per mile. The total mileage fee is \$60.61. Thus,
for each person Plaintiff wishes to subpoena from Corcoran, he must submit a money
order made payable to that person in the amount of \$100.61.

Plaintiff shows the location of Win as California State Prison, 2100 Peabody
Road, Vacaville, California. The roundtrip mileage from Vacaville to Fresno is 364
miles. The mileage rate is 55.5 cents per mile. The total mileage free is \$202.02.
Accordingly, to subpoena Win, Plaintiff must submit a money order made payable to
Win in the amount of \$242.02.

Plaintiff lists the location of Kowell as Twin Cities Community Hospital, which
appears to be located at 1100 Las Tablas Road, in Templeton, California. The roundtrip
mileage from Templeton to Fresno is 232 miles. The mileage rate is 55.5 cents per
mile. The total mileage fee is \$128.76. Accordingly, to subpoena Kowell, Plaintiff must
submit a money order made payable to Kowell in the amount of \$168.76.

The Court orders Plaintiff to submit the requisite money orders and the full addresses of Smith, Klarich, and Kowell by no later than December 9, 2013.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

VI.

18

CONCLUSION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS the following:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Court's denial of his motion to appoint counsel is DENIED (ECF No. 111);
 - Plaintiff's request to bring incarcerated inmate witness Daly to trial (ECF No. 115, 122) is GRANTED;

Plaintiff lists the address of Smith and Klarich as "Memorial Hospital", which appears to be the hospital at Corcoran State Prison. If this is incorrect, Plaintiff should provide the Court with the correct addresses for these individuals.

1	a. Within fourteen (14) days of entry of this order, Plaintiff must provide
2	Defendant Johnson with a copy of all of the documents Inmate Daly is
3	to use forming opinions he will be asked to testify to at trial (ECF No.
4	116);
5	b. Plaintiff will be allowed to participate in the deposition of inmate Daly
6	by Defendant;
7	3. Plaintiff's request to add additional claims and defendants (ECF No. 122) is
8	DENIED;
9	4. Plaintiff's request to call Inmates Hackworth and Burns as witnesses in this
10	action is GRANTED (ECF No. 107);
11	5. Plaintiff's request to call Inmates Exmundo, Bailey, Dunbar, and Hamilton as
12	witnesses in this action is DENIED (ECF No. 107);
13	6. Plaintiff's request for additional discovery is DENIED (ECF No. 107);
14	7. Defendant Johnson's request for the Court to perform an in camera review of
15	documents (ECF No. 125) is GRANTED:
16	a. Within fourteen (14) days of entry of this order, Defendant Johnson
17	should provide the Court with a copy of the documents he wishes to
18	file under seal;
19	b. If Court concludes after in camera review that the witness has relevant
20	evidence to offer, it will allow Defendant Johnson to call him to testify
21	at trial provided Defendant first arranges to enable Plaintiff's telephonic
22	deposition of the witness (incognito) at Defendant's expense. If the
23	witness is called to testify, the Court will address at the start of trial the
24	propriety of disclosing the witnesses identity to Plaintiff or, alternatively,
25	shielding his identity from Plaintiff even during trial;
26	8. Plaintiff's request for personnel files (ECF No. 123) is partially GRANTED and
27	partially denied;
28	

1	a. Within fourteen (14) days of entry of this order, Defendant Johnson	
2	shall provide the Court with a copy of his personnel file and the Court	
3	will perform an <u>in camera</u> review of it;	
4	b. Plaintiff's request for the personnel files of Defendant Johnson's	
5	potential witnesses (ECF No. 123) is DENIED; and	
6	9. Plaintiff shall provide money orders for his unincarcerated witnesses and the	
7	full contact information for proposed witnesses Smith, Klarich, and Kowell by	
8	December 9, 2013.	
9		
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: <u>November 13, 2013</u> Isl Michael J. Seng	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	8	