

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
78 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
910 FRED PRICE, CASE NO. 1:08-cv-00425-AWI-SKO PC
11 v. Plaintiff, ORDER VACATING DEFENDANTS'
12 S. R. CUNNINGHAM, et al., MOTION TO DISMISS FROM COURT'S
13 Defendants. CALENDAR PENDING OBJECTION
14 / DEADLINE
15 (Docs. 19 and 27)16 On June 15, 2010, Defendants Cunningham and Mullins filed a motion seeking revocation
17 of Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and the Magistrate Judge
18 recommended the motion be granted on March 10, 2011. The objection deadline does not expire
19 until April 14, 2011, and the parties have fourteen days, plus three days for service, which to file a
response to any objections. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d); Local Rule 304(d).20 In light of 28 U.S.C. § 476(a)(1), the Civil Justice Reform Act, and because the Court cannot
21 yet rule on Defendants' pending motion, the motion is DEEMED VACATED from the Court's
22 calendar until (1) objections are timely filed and the response period expires, or (2) the thirty-day
23 objection period expires without receipt of objections.¹

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: March 22, 201126 
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE27
28 ¹ The Court's action is purely administrative and the parties need take no further action. Once the objection
period is closed, the Court will issue its ruling on the motion.