1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	AASIM NIA, CASE NO. 1:08-CV-00520-LJO-DLB PC		
10	Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR		
11	v. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT (DOC. 99)		
12	DERRAL ADAMS,		
13	Defendant.		
14	/		
15			
16			
17			
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action was proceeding against Defendant Derral Adams for		
19	violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. On February 23, 2011, a		
20	jury verdict was entered in this action against Defendant Adams. Nominal damages were		
21	awarded in the amount of \$1.00, and judgment was entered accordingly. Pending before the		
22	Court is Plaintiff's motion, filed June 29, 2011, seeking enforcement of the judgment.		
23	Plaintiff contends that he has not received in his prison trust account the \$1.00 that was		
24	awarded. The Court does not generally issue orders enforcing judgments simply because a		

enforcement of judgment. See, e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 64 (describing seizure of property for enforcement of judgment, under the law of the state where court is located); Fed. R. Civ. P. 69 (describing enforcement of money judgment by writ of execution, in accord with the procedure

verdict has been rendered. Plaintiff is required to use the procedures that are available for

1	1 of the state where court is located).	of the state where court is located).		
2	Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for			
3	enforcement of judgment, filed June 29, 2011, is DENIED.			
4	4 IT IS SO ORDERED.			
5	5 Dated: <u>August 9, 2011</u>	<u>/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill</u> TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
6	6 UNI	TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
7	7			
8	8			
9	9			
10	0			
11	1			
12	2			
13	3			
14	4			
15	5			
16	6			
17	7			
18	8			
19	9			
20	0			
21	1			
22	2			
23	3			
24	4			
25	5			
26	6			
27	7			
28				
	2			