

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

<b>RICKEY WILLIAMS,</b>	)	<b>1:08-CV-0522 OWW WMW HC</b>
	)	
<b>Petitioner,</b>	)	<b>ORDER ADOPTING</b>
	)	<b>FINDINGS AND</b>
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS AND</b>
	)	<b>DISMISSING PETITION</b>
<b>PATRICIA VAZQUEZ, WARDEN,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Respondent.</b>	)	[Doc. <a href="#">10</a> , <a href="#">14</a> ]
	)	

---



---

Petitioner, a state prisoner, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 . The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.

On November 17, 2008, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein. These findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice to the parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. Petitioner did not file objections.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983). Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

1 Petitioner may seek to appeal from the judgment of the court in this case. Petitioner  
2 cannot proceed on such an appeal absent a certificate of appealability. The controlling statute, 28  
3 U.S.C. § 2253, provides as follows:

4 (a) In a habeas corpus proceeding or a proceeding under section 2255 before a district  
5 judge, the final order shall be subject to review, on appeal, by the court of appeals for the  
6 circuit in which the proceeding is held.

7 (b) There shall be no right of appeal from a final order in a proceeding to test the validity  
8 of a warrant to remove to another district or place for commitment or trial a person  
9 charged with a criminal offense against the United States, or to test the validity of such  
10 person's detention pending removal proceedings.

11 (c)(1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may  
12 not be taken to the court of appeals from--

13 (A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of  
14 arises out of process issued by a State court; or

15 (B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.

16 (2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has  
17 made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

18 (3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which specific issue  
19 or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).

20 In the present case, the court finds no denial of a constitutional right. Accordingly, a  
21 certificate of appealability will be denied.

22 Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 23 1. The findings and recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on  
24 November 17, 2008, are adopted in full;
- 25 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss is GRANTED;
- 26 3. This petition is DISMISSED for failure to exhaust state judicial remedies;
- 27 4. A certificate of appealability is DENIED; and
- 28 5. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment for Respondent and to close this  
case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 21, 2009

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE