(PC) Mitchell v. Valdivia et al

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 SHAULTON J. MITCHELL, 5 No. C 08-00577 WHA (PR) Plaintiff, 6 SECOND NOTICE REGARDING 7 ABILITY TO SERVE DEFENDANT J. v. RCIA: AND ORDER DIRECTING 8 PLAINTIFF TO PROVIDE CURRENT R. VALDIVIA, J. GARCIA, R. MCCOY, E. ADDRESS OF DEFENDANT GARCIA SALINAS, JOHN DOE, 9 10 Defendants. 11 12 On September 13, 2010, the court received a letter from defendants' counsel, Jonathan B. 13 Paul, stating that defendant J. Garcia has not been served in this action. The Wasco State Prison 14 Litigation Coordinator has informed Mr. Paul that defendant Garcia is no longer employed at the 15 prison; therefore, service has been ineffective on defendant Garcia. 16 While plaintiff may rely on service by the United States Marshal, "a plaintiff may not remain 17 silent and do nothing to effectuate such service. At a minimum, a plaintiff should request service 18 upon the appropriate defendant and attempt to remedy any apparent defects of which [he] has knowledge." Rochon v. Dawson, 828 F.2d 1107, 1110 (5th Cir. 1987). If the marshal is unable to

effectuate service and the plaintiff is informed, the plaintiff must seek to remedy the situation or face

dismissal of the claims regarding that defendant under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). See

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (If service of the summons and complaint is not made upon a defendant in 120

days after the filing of the complaint, the action must be dismissed without prejudice as to that

defendant absent a showing of "good cause."); see also Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1421-22

(9th Cir. 1994) (prisoner failed to show cause why prison official should not be dismissed under
Rule 4(m) because prisoner did not prove that he provided marshal with sufficient information to

serve official).

28

27

No later than thirty days from the date of this order, plaintiff must provide the court with a
 current address for defendant Garcia. plaintiff should review the federal discovery rules, Rules 26 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for guidance about how to determine the current address
 of this defendant.

If plaintiff fails to provide the court with the current address of defendant Garcia within the thirty-day deadline, all claims against this defendant will be dismissed without prejudice under Rule 4(m).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>November 9, 2010</u>

Ahr

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

P:\PRO-SE\WHA\E.D. CAL\MITCHELL-08-0577WHA\MITCHELL0577.2ndLOCATE-GARCIA.wpd