| 1 | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | JACQUES FEARANCE, | Case No. 1:08-cv-00615-LJO-SAB-PC | |
| 12 13 | Plaintiff, v. | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (ECF No.100) | |
| 14 | S. HOPKINS, et al., | ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' | |
| 15 | Defendants. | MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | 636(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. | | |
| 21 | On August 13, 2015, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that | | |
| 22 | Defendants' motion for partial summary judgment be granted. Plaintiff was provided an | | |
| 23 | opportunity to file objections within thirty days. On September 17, 2015, Plaintiff filed | | |
| 24 | objections to the findings and recommendations. | | |
| 25 | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 305, this | | |
| 26 | Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the | | |
| 27 | Court finds the record to be supported by the record and proper analysis. | | |
| 28 | Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY OF | RDERS that: | |
| | | | |

1

| 1 | 1. | The findings and recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on August 13, | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | 2015, are adopted in full; | | |
| 3 | 2. | Plaintiff's excessive force claim against Defendant Busby is dismissed; | |
| 4 | 3. | 3. Plaintiff's conspiracy claim against all five Defendants is dismissed; | |
| 5 | 4. Plaintiff's failure to protect claim against Defendants Hopkins, Davis, Duffy and | | |
| 6 | Beckett relating to Busby's use of pepper spray is dismissed; and | | |
| 7 | 5. This action proceeds against Defendant Hopkins for use of excessive force and | | |
| 8 | against Defendants Beckett, Davis and Duffy for failure to protect Plaintiff from Defendant | | |
| 9 | Hopkins' use of force. | | |
| 10 | IT IS SO ORDERED | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | Dated: | September 30, 2015/s/ Lawrence J. O'NeillUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 13 | | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JODGE | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 2 | |