| (PC) Thomas v. Garcia et al | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | IINITED STAT | TES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | JEAN-PIERRE K. THOMAS, | CASE NO. 1:08-cv-00689-LJO-DLB PC |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND |
| 11 | v. | RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY |
| 12 | M. GARCIA, et al., | JUDGMENT |
| 13 | Defendants. | ECF No. 129 |
| 14 | / | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Plaintiff Jean-Pierre K. Thomas ("Plaintiff") is a California state prisoner proceeding pro se | |
| 17 | in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on Plaintiff's complaint | |
| 18 | against Defendants M. P. Garcia and Bonilla for excessive force in violation of the Eighth | |
| 19 | Amendment. On April 9, 2012, Defendants filed a Motion for <u>Summary Judgment</u> . ECF No. 115. | |
| 20 | The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) | |
| 21 | and Local Rule 302. | |
| 22 | On November 6, 2012, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations which | |
| 23 | was served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objection to the Findings | |
| 24 | and Recommendations was to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 129. No party filed a timely | |
| 25 | Objection to the Findings and Recommendations. | |
| 26 | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a de | |
| 27 | novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and | |
| 28 | Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. | |
| | | |

Doc. 130

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: The Findings and Recommendations, filed November 6, 2012, is adopted in full; 1. 2. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, filed April 9, 2012, is denied; and The matter is referred to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings. 3. IT IS SO ORDERED. **Dated:** ___**December 10, 2012** ___ /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE