

1 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP  
A Limited Liability Partnership  
2 Including Professional Corporations  
JEFFREY J. PARKER, Cal. Bar No. 155377  
3 WHITNEY JONES ROY, Cal. Bar No. 211541  
333 South Hope Street, 48th Floor  
4 Los Angeles, California 90071-1448  
Telephone: 213-620-1780  
5 Facsimile: 213-620-1398  
jparker@sheppardmullin.com  
6 wroy@sheppardmullin.com

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION

8 (submitted with permission of all parties)  
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION  
12

13 CITY OF MERCED  
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, et. al.,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION;  
17 EXXON CORPORATION;  
CHEVRON U.S.A., INC.;  
18 CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY,  
F/K/A PHILLIPS PETROLEUM  
19 COMPANY, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST BY  
20 MERGER TO TOSCO  
CORPORATION; SHELL OIL  
21 COMPANY; KINDER MORGAN  
ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.;  
22 EQUILON ENTERPRISES LLC;  
SFPP, L.P.; TESORO  
23 CORPORATION; TESORO  
REFINING AND MARKETING  
24 COMPANY and DOES 1 THROUGH  
200, inclusive,

25 Defendants.  
26  
27  
28

Case No. 1:08-cv-00714-LJO-GSA

**STIPULATION AND ORDER  
EXTENDING DEADLINES FOR  
FILING OF COST BILLS AND  
OBJECTIONS**

Complaint Filed: April 7, 2008

1 Defendants Chevron U.S.A. Inc., Exxon Mobil Corporation (formerly known  
2 as Exxon Corporation), Shell Oil Company, Equilon Enterprises LLC, Tesoro  
3 Corporation, and Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company (collectively,  
4 “Defendants”) and plaintiff Merced Designated Local Authority, as successor  
5 agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Merced (“Plaintiff”) submit the  
6 following stipulation:  
7

8 WHEREAS, on February 4, 2015, the Court granted in part Defendants’  
9 motion for summary judgment (CM/ECF Document No. 118) and the Clerk entered  
10 judgment in accordance therewith (CM/ECF Document No. 119).  
11

12 WHEREAS, Defendants are each preparing their respective cost bills.  
13

14 WHEREAS, due to the duration of this case and amount of cost information  
15 to be collected and reviewed for the cost bills, it has been a time-consuming process  
16 that is taking longer than the 14 days allowed under E.D. Cal. Local Rule 292(b).  
17

18 WHEREAS, Plaintiff anticipates that it will need more than the week allowed  
19 under E.D. Cal. Local Rule 292(c) to prepare its objection to the four Defendants’  
20 cost bills.  
21

22 WHEREAS, the parties are engaged in discussions that, if successful, would  
23 resolve all cost bills and appeals.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1            THEREFORE, the parties stipulate to a two-week extension for Defendants to  
2 file their cost bills and two weeks for Plaintiff to file its opposition to any cost bills.

3  
4 Dated: February 18, 2015

5 Respectfully submitted,  
6 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER &  
7 HAMPTON LLP

8 By /s/ Jeffrey J. Parker  
9            JEFFREY J. PARKER  
10            Attorneys for Defendant  
11            EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION

12 MILLER & AXLINE

13 By /s/ Michael D. Axline  
14            MICHAEL D. AXLINE  
15            Attorney for Plaintiff  
16            MERCED DESIGNATED LOCAL  
17            AUTHORITY, AS SUCCESSOR  
18            AGENCY TO THE  
19            REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF  
20            THE CITY OF MERCED

KING & SPALDING LLP

By /s/ Charles C. Correll, Jr.  
CHARLES C. CORRELL, JR.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.

HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP

By /s/ Colleen P. Doyle  
COLLEEN P. DOYLE  
Attorney for Defendants  
TESORO CORPORATION AND  
TESORO REFINING AND  
MARKETING COMPANY

SEDGWICK LLP

By /s/ Peter C. Condron  
PETER C. CONDRON  
Attorney for Defendants  
SHELL OIL COMPANY AND  
EQUILON ENTERPRISES LLC

21  
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: February 18, 2015

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE