1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 11	
11	JAMES F. MENEFIELD, No. C 08-00751 CRB (PR)
12	Plaintiff, ORDER
14	V.
15	JAMES E. TILTON,
16	Defendant.
17	
18	
19	On February 11, 2009, the Court granted Plaintiff James F. Menefield's m
20	a Second Amended Complaint. As indicated in its previous Order, the Court wil
21	with screening of the case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A upon receipt of Plaintin
22	pleading.
23	Plaintiff has also submitted a Motion for Preliminary Injunction, which is
24	DENIED without prejudice for failure to satisfy the notice requirements of Feder
25	Civil Procedure 65. Prior to granting a preliminary injunction, notice to the adverged required. Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a)(1). A motion for preliminary injunction therefore

notion to file ll proceed ff's new

hereby ral Rule of erse party is e cannot be decided until the parties to the action are served, and they have not yet been served here. See 27 Zepeda v. INS, 753 F.2d 719, 727 (9th Cir. 1983). 28

If after reviewing the Second Amended Complaint the Court determines service is warranted, then Plaintiff may renew his motion for injunctive relief. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: February 12, 2009 CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE