1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 EFRAIN MUNOZ, et al., individually and No. 1:08-cv-00759-DAD-BAM on behalf of all others similarly situated, 12 Plaintiffs. 13 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTII UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO v. FILE OUT OF TIME NUNC PRO TUNC 14 PHH CORP., et. al., 15 (Doc. No. 357) Defendants. 16 17 On October 5, 2016, plaintiffs filed a motion seeking leave to file out of time nunc pro 18 19 tunc. (Doc. No. 357.) Specifically, plaintiffs timely filed their opposition to defendants' motion 20 for decertification (Doc. No. 353) and opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment 21 (Doc. No. 354) on October 4, 2016. However, plaintiffs filed the exhibits and supporting 22 statements of fact (Doc. No. 355) in connection with that opposition on October 5, 2016, several hours after the filing deadline. It appears clear that plaintiff's late filing was related to the court's 23 24 very recent order (Doc. No. 356) approving the filing of redacted briefs and place holder exhibits that had been discussed with the court the evening before. (See Doc. No. 357 at 2.) Plaintiffs 25 26 represent to the court that defendants have no objection to the relief requested in their motion. 27 (*Id.* at 3.)

28

/////

1	Accordingly, upon consideration of plaintiffs' unopposed administrative motion for leave	
2	to file out of time <i>nunc pro tunc</i> , the court hereby grants the motion (Doc. No. 357) and orders	
3	that Doc. No. 355 be deemed filed timely on October 4, 2016.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: October 6, 2016	Dale A. Drogd
6		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
2223		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-	п	